

The quark-gluon plasma shear viscosity from RHIC to LHC*



DEPARTMENT OF
PHYSICS

Ulrich Heinz

Department of Physics
The Ohio State University
191 West Woodruff Avenue
Columbus, OH 43210



presented at

University of Crete, Heraklion, Sep. 4, 2011

Work done in collaboration with

S.A. Bass, T. Hirano, P. Huovinen, Zhi Qiu, Chun Shen, and H. Song



*Supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)


Prologue: How to measure $(\eta/s)_{QGP}$

Hydrodynamics converts
spatial deformation of initial state \Rightarrow
momentum anisotropy of final state,
through anisotropic pressure gradients

Shear viscosity degrades conversion efficiency

$$\varepsilon_x = \frac{\langle\langle y^2 - x^2 \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle y^2 + x^2 \rangle\rangle} \implies \varepsilon_p = \frac{\langle T^{xx} - T^{yy} \rangle}{\langle T^{xx} + T^{yy} \rangle}$$

of the fluid; the suppression of ε_p is monotonically related to η/s .



The observable that is most directly related to the total hydrodynamic momentum anisotropy ε_p is the **total (p_T -integrated) charged hadron elliptic flow v_2^{ch}** :

$$\varepsilon_p = \frac{\langle T^{xx} - T^{yy} \rangle}{\langle T^{xx} + T^{yy} \rangle} \iff \frac{\sum_i \int p_T dp_T \int d\phi_p p_T^2 \cos(2\phi_p) \frac{dN_i}{dy p_T dp_T d\phi_p}}{\sum_i \int p_T dp_T \int d\phi_p p_T^2 \frac{dN_i}{dy p_T dp_T d\phi_p}} \iff v_2^{\text{ch}}$$


Prologue: How to measure $(\eta/s)_{\text{QGP}}$ (ctd.)

- If ε_p **saturates** before hadronization (e.g. in PbPb@LHC (?))
 - ⇒ $v_2^{\text{ch}} \approx$ not affected by details of hadronic rescattering below T_c
but: $v_2^{(i)}(p_T)$, $\frac{dN_i}{dy d^2p_T}$ change during hadronic phase (addl. radial flow!), and these changes depend on details of the hadronic dynamics (chemical composition etc.)
 - ⇒ $v_2(p_T)$ of a single particle species **not** a good starting point for extracting η/s
- If ε_p **does not saturate** before hadronization (e.g. AuAu@RHIC), dissipative hadronic dynamics affects not only the distribution of ε_p over hadronic species and in p_T , but even the final value of ε_p itself (from which we want to get η/s)
 - ⇒ need hybrid code that couples viscous hydrodynamic evolution of QGP to **realistic microscopic dynamics** of late-stage hadron gas phase
 - ⇒ **VISHNU** ("Viscous Israel-Steward Hydrodynamics 'n' UrQMD")

(Song, Bass, Heinz, PRC83 (2011) 024912) Note: this paper shows that UrQMD \neq viscous hydro!

Extraction of $(\eta/s)_{\text{QGP}}$ from AuAu@RHIC


H. Song, S.A. Bass, U. Heinz, T. Hirano, C. Shen, PRL106 (2011) 192301



$$1 < 4\pi(\eta/s)_{\text{QGP}} < 2.5$$



- All shown theoretical curves correspond to parameter sets that correctly describe centrality dependence of charged hadron production as well as p_T -spectra of charged hadrons, pions and protons at all centralities
- $v_2^{\text{ch}}/\varepsilon_x$ vs. $(1/S)(dN_{\text{ch}}/dy)$ is “universal”, i.e. depends **only on** η/s but (in good approximation) not on initial-state model (Glauber vs. KLN, optical vs. MC, RP vs. PP average, etc.)
- dominant source of uncertainty: $\varepsilon_x^{\text{Gl}}$ vs. $\varepsilon_x^{\text{KLN}}$
- smaller effects: **early flow** → increases $\frac{v_2}{\varepsilon}$ by ∼few % → larger η/s
bulk viscosity → affects $v_2^{\text{ch}}(p_T)$, but ≈ not v_2^{ch}

Zhi Qiu & UH, PRC84 (2011) 024911



Extraction of $(\eta/s)_{\text{QGP}}$ from AuAu@RHIC


H. Song, S.A. Bass, U. Heinz, T. Hirano, C. Shen, PRL106 (2011) 192301




$$1 < 4\pi(\eta/s)_{\text{QGP}} < 2.5$$

- All shown theoretical curves correspond to parameter sets that correctly describe centrality dependence of charged hadron production as well as p_T -spectra of charged hadrons, pions and protons at all centralities
- $v_2^{\text{ch}}/\epsilon_x$ vs. $(1/S)(dN_{\text{ch}}/dy)$ is “universal”, i.e. depends **only on** η/s but (in good approximation) not on initial-state model (Glauber vs. KLN, optical vs. MC, RP vs. PP average, etc.)
- dominant source of uncertainty: ϵ_x^{GI} vs. ϵ_x^{KLN}
- smaller effects: **early flow** → increases $\frac{v_2}{\epsilon}$ by ∼ few % → larger η/s
bulk viscosity → affects $v_2^{\text{ch}}(p_T)$, but ≈ not v_2^{ch}
e-by-e hydro → decreases $\frac{v_2}{\epsilon}$ by $\lesssim 5\%$ → smaller η/s

Zhi Qiu & UH, PRC84 (2011) 024911




Global description of AuAu@RHIC spectra and v_2



- $(\eta/s)_{QGP} = 0.08$ for MC-Glauber and $(\eta/s)_{QGP} = 0.16$ for MC-KLN work well for charged hadron, pion and proton spectra and $v_2(p_T)$ at all collision centralities

Global description of AuAu@RHIC spectra and v_2


VISHNU (H. Song, S.A. Bass, U. Heinz, T. Hirano, C. Shen, PRC 83 (2011) 054910)



- $(\eta/s)_{QGP} = 0.08$ for MC-Glauber and $(\eta/s)_{QGP} = 0.16$ for MC-KLN work well for charged hadron, pion and proton spectra and $v_2(p_T)$ at all collision centralities
- A purely hydrodynamic model (without UrQMD afterburner) with the same values of η/s does almost as well (except for centrality dependence of proton $v_2(p_T)$) \Rightarrow Shen et al., arXiv:1105.3226
- Main difference: VISHNU develops more radial flow in the hadronic phase (larger shear viscosity), pure viscous hydro must start earlier than VISHNU ($\tau_0 = 0.6$ instead of $0.9 \text{ fm}/c$), otherwise proton spectra are too steep
- These η/s values agree with Luzum & Romatschke, PRC78 (2008), even though they used EOS with incorrect hadronic chemical composition \Rightarrow shows robustness of extracting η/s from total charged hadron v_2

Pre- and postdictions for PbPb@LHC


VISHNU with MC-KLN (Song, Bass, Heinz, PRC 83 (2011) 054912)



- After normalization in 0-5% centrality collisions, MC-KLN + VISHNU (w/o running coupling, but including viscous entropy production!) reproduces centrality dependence of $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta$ well in both AuAu@RHIC and PbPb@LHC
- $(\eta/s)_{\text{QGP}} = 0.16$ for MC-KLN works well for charged hadron $v_2(p_T)$ and integrated v_2 in AuAu@RHIC, but overpredicts both by about 10-15% in PbPb@LHC
- Similar results from predictions based on pure viscous hydro \Rightarrow Shen et al., arXiv:1105.3226
- but:** At LHC, we see significant sensitivity of v_2 to initialization of viscous pressure tensor $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ (Navier-Stokes or zero), and it is not excluded that it may be possible to bring down v_2 at LHC to the ALICE data without increasing η/s at higher T (requires more study)
 \Rightarrow **QGP at LHC perhaps a bit, but not dramatically more viscous than at RHIC!**

Why is $v_2^{\text{ch}}(p_T)$ the same at RHIC and LHC?

Answer: Pure accident! (Kestin & Heinz EPJC61 (2009) 545)




$v_2^\pi(p_T)$ increases a bit from RHIC to LHC, for heavier hadrons $v_2(p_T)$ at fixed p_T decreases
(radial flow pushes momentum anisotropy of heavy hadrons to larger p_T)

This is a hard (and successful!) prediction of hydrodynamics! (See also Nagle et al., arXiv:1102.0680)

Confirmation of increased mass splitting at LHC

Data: ALICE @ LHC, Quark Matter 2011 (symbols), PHENIX @ RHIC (shaded)


Lines: Shen et al., arXiv:1105.3226 (VISH2+1)




- Qualitative features of data agree with VISH2+1 predictions
- VISH2+1 does not push proton v_2 strongly enough to higher p_T , both at RHIC and LHC
- At RHIC we know that this is fixed when using VISHNU – is the same true at LHC?

Successful prediction of $v_2(p_T)$ for identified hadrons in PbPb@LHC

Data: ALICE, Quark Matter 2011



Lines: Shen et al., arXiv:1105.3226 (VISH2+1)




Perfect fit in semi-peripheral collisions!

The problem with insufficient proton radial flow exists only in more central collisions
 \implies hadronic cascade (VISHNU) may help!

Comparison VISH2+1 . . .

Data: ALICE, preliminary (Snellings, Krzewicki, Quark Matter 2011)


Lines: C. Shen et al., arXiv:1105.3226 (VISH2+1, MC-KLN, $\eta/s=0.2$)



... vs. VISHNU

Data: ALICE, preliminary (Snellings, Krzewicki, Quark Matter 2011)


Lines: UH, Shen, Song, arXiv:1108.5323 (VISHNU, MC-KLN, $(\eta/s)_{QGP}=0.2$)



- VISHNU yields correct centrality dependence of $v_2(p_T)$ for pions, kaons **and protons!**
- $v_2(p_T)$ slightly too low (by $\sim 5-10\%$, increasing with peripherality) for all particle species $\Rightarrow (\eta/s)_{QGP}=0.16$ will probably work better

Back to the elephant in the room: How to eliminate the large model uncertainty in the initial eccentricity?

Zhi Qiu and U. Heinz, PRC84 (2011) 024911



Initial eccentricities ε_n and angles ψ_n :

$$\varepsilon_n e^{in\psi_n} = -\frac{\int r dr d\phi r^2 e^{in\phi} e(r,\phi)}{\int r dr d\phi r^2 e(r,\phi)}$$


- MC-KLN has larger ε_2 and ε_4 , but similar ε_5 and almost identical ε_3 as MC-Glauber
- Angles of ε_2 and ε_4 are correlated with reaction plane by geometry, whereas those of ε_3 and ε_5 are random (**purely fluctuation-driven**)
- While v_4 and v_5 have mode-coupling contributions from ε_2 , v_3 is almost pure response to ε_3 and $v_3/\varepsilon_3 \approx \text{const.}$ over a wide range of centralities
(for details see PRC84 (2011) 024911)

⇒ Idea: Use total charged hadron v_3^{ch} to determine $(\eta/s)_{\text{QGP}}$,
then check v_2^{ch} to distinguish between MC-KLN and MC-Glauber!

Shooting the elephant

Proof of principle calculation:

Zhi Qiu & U. Heinz, arXiv:1108.1714



- Take ensemble of sum of deformed Gaussian profiles,
 $s(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = s_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp; \tilde{\varepsilon}_2, \psi_2) + s_3(\mathbf{r}_\perp; \tilde{\varepsilon}_3, \psi_3)$, with
 1. equal Gaussian radii $R_2^2 = R_3^2 = 8 \text{ fm}^2$ to reproduce $\langle r_\perp^2 \rangle$ of MC-KLN source for 20-30% AuAu
 2. $\tilde{\varepsilon}_2$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_3$ adjusted such that
 - $\bar{\varepsilon}_{2,3} = \langle \varepsilon_{2,3} \rangle_{\text{KLN}}^{20-30\%}$ ("MC-KLN-like")
 - $\bar{\varepsilon}_{2,3} = \langle \varepsilon_{2,3} \rangle_{\text{GI}}^{20-30\%}$ ("MC-Glauber-like")
 3. $\psi_2 = 0$, ψ_3 (direction of triangularity) distributed randomly
- Use $v_2^\pi(p_T)$ from VISH2+1 for $\eta/s = 0.20$ with MC-KLN initial conditions for 20-30% AuAu as "mock data"
- Fit mock $v_2^\pi(p_T)$ data with VISH2+1 for "MC-Glauber-like" or "MC-KLN-like" Gaussian initial conditions with both elliptic and triangular deformations by adjusting η/s
 $\implies (\eta/s)_{\text{KLN}} = 0.22$ for "MC-KLN-like",
 $(\eta/s)_{\text{GI}} = 0.11$ for "MC-Glauber-like"
- Compute $v_3^\pi(p_T)$ for "MC-KLN-like" fit with $(\eta/s)_{\text{GI}}=0.22$ and reproduce it with "MC-Glauber-like" initial condition by readjusting η/s
 $\implies (\eta/s)_{\text{GI}}^{v_3} = 0.22$ for "MC-Glauber-like"
- Compute $v_2^\pi(p_T)$ for "MC-Glauber-like" initial profiles with readjusted $(\eta/s)_{\text{GI}}^{v_3} = 0.224$ and compare with "MC-Glauber-like" fit to original mock data \implies clearly visible (and measurable) difference!

This exercise proves: (i) Fitting v_3 data with MC-Glauber and MC-KLN initial conditions yields **the same η/s** (within narrow error band); (ii) The corresponding v_2 fits are quite different, and **only one** (more precisely: at most one!) of the models **will fit the corresponding $v_2(p_T)$ data**.



Conclusions

- Hybrid codes (e.g. VISHNU) that couple viscous hydro evolution of QGP to microscopic hadron cascade now allow a determination of $(\eta/s)_{QGP}$ with $\mathcal{O}(25\%)$ precision **if the initial fireball eccentricity is known to better than 5% relative accuracy**
- With VISHNU good global fits that describe **all single-particle observables for soft hadron production** (spectra, elliptic flow) at all but the most peripheral AuAu collision centralities are obtained, for both MC-Glauber and MC-KLN initial conditions, by using $(\eta/s)_{QGP} = 0.08$ for MC-Glauber and $(\eta/s)_{QGP} = 0.16\text{--}0.20$ for MC-KLN. This appears to carry over to PbPb@LHC.
- **Event-by-event ideal hydrodynamics** with fluctuating initial conditions yields somewhat less v_2/ε_2 than single-shot hydro with smooth average initial profiles \implies Event-by-event hydro may be necessary for a precise extraction of $(\eta/s)_{QGP}$ from charged hadron v_2 . Depending on $(\eta/s)_{QGP}$, event-by-event hydro can matter a lot for proton v_2 .
- While MC-Glauber and MC-KLN give ε_2 that differ by 20-25%, they give almost identical ε_3 (which is not geometric but fluctuation-driven). **Only one of them will be able to fit simultaneously both v_2 and v_3** (analysis in progress).
- This should enable us to gain the necessary control over initial conditions to make a precise (i.e. much better than factor 2) measurement of $(\eta/s)_{QGP}$.

Supplements

Global description of AuAu@RHIC spectra and v_2

VISHNU (H. Song, S.A. Bass, U. Heinz, T. Hirano, C. Shen, PRC 83 (2011) 054910)




- $(\eta/s)_{\text{QGP}} = 0.08$ for MC-Glauber and $(\eta/s)_{\text{QGP}} = 0.16$ for MC-KLN works well for charged hadron, pion and proton spectra and $v_2(p_T)$ at all collision centralities

s95p-PCE: A realistic, lattice-QCD-based EOS

Huovinen, Petreczky, NPA 837 (2010) 26

Shen, Heinz, Huovinen, Song, PRC 82 (2010) 054904





High T : Lattice QCD (latest hotQCD results)

Low T : Chemically frozen HRG ($T_{\text{chem}} = 165 \text{ MeV}$)

No softest point!

s95p-PCE: A realistic, lattice-QCD-based EOS



Generates less radial flow than SM-EOS Q and EOS L but larger momentum anisotropy



Smooth transition leads to smaller δf at freeze-out

⇒ larger v_2

H_2O : Hydro-to-OSCAR converter

Monte-Carlo interface that samples hydrodynamic Cooper-Frye spectra (including viscous correction δf) on conversion surface to generate particles at positions x_i^μ with momenta p_i^μ for subsequent propagation in UrQMD (or any other OSCAR-compatible hadron cascade afterburner)

Song, Bass, Heinz, PRC 83 (2011) 024912




VISHNU: hydro (VISH2+1) + cascade (UrQMD) hybrid

Sensitivity to H₂O switching temperature:

With chemically frozen EOS (s95p-PCE),
 p_T -spectra show very little sensitivity to T_{sw} (Teaney, 2000):

Song, Bass, Heinz, PRC 83 (2011) 024912

200 A GeV Au+Au, $b = 7 \text{ fm}$




VISHNU: hydro (VISH2+1) + cascade (UrQMD) hybrid

Sensitivity to H₂O switching temperature:

With chemically frozen EOS (s95p-PCE),
 p_T -spectra show very little sensitivity to T_{sw}

but v_2 does:

Song, Bass, Heinz, PRC 83 (2011) 024912




Viscous hydro with fixed $\eta/s = 0.08$ generates more v_2 below T_c than does UrQMD
 \implies UrQMD is more dissipative

VISH2+1 simulation of UrQMD dynamics requires T -dependent $(\eta/s)(T)$ that increases towards lower temperature

Is there a switching window in which UrQMD can be simulated by viscous hydro?

Unfortunately NO!



$(\eta/s)(T)$ extracted by trying to reproduce v_2 independent of switching temperature depends on δ_f input into UrQMD from hadronizing QGP

⇒ δ_f relaxes too slowly in UrQMD to be describable by viscous Israel-Stewart hydro

⇒ extracted $(\eta/s)(T)$ not a proper UrQMD transport coefficient

⇒ **UrQMD dynamics can't be described by viscous Israel-Stewart hydrodynamics**

Smearing effects from nucleon growth at high energies

