#### A holographic model for the fractional quantum Hall effect

René Meyer

Kavli IPMU, Tokyo University

September 6, 2014

with Matthew Lippert and Anastasios Taliotis, 1409.1369 Many Thanks go to Elias Kiritsis.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)



Introduction: Modular invariance in FQHE

Review: Dyonic Black Holes and Modular Invariance

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

The SL(2,Z) invariant model

**Conclusions & Further Directions** 



#### Introduction: Modular invariance in FQHE

Review: Dyonic Black Holes and Modular Invariance

The SL(2,Z) invariant model

**Conclusions & Further Directions** 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

In systems with 2D electron gases, at very low temperatures, high magnetic fields, clean samples :



□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ■ ▶ < □ [Tsui+Stoermer]</li>

FQHE states are gapped states with quantized Hall conductvitiy

$$\sigma_{xy} = rac{p}{q} \left(rac{e^2}{h}
ight), \quad p,q \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad q ext{ odd}$$

Physics of charged quasiparticle excitations symmetric under Modular Group Action : σ = σ<sub>xy</sub> + iσ<sub>xx</sub>

$$\sigma \mapsto \frac{a\sigma + b}{c\sigma + d}, \quad \left( egin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} 
ight) \in \Gamma_0(2) \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z}), \quad c ext{ even}$$

- Assumption: RG flow reduces to two-dimensional subspace
- Group action commuting with the RG flow implies that RG fixed points are  $\Gamma_0(2)$  fixed points, structure imprinted on  $\sigma$  flows in  $\sigma_{xx} \sigma_{xy}$  plane

[Burgess+Lutken 1997, Dolan 1999, Lutken+Ross 2009, S.S. Murzin et al 2002]



► Examples: Selection Rule: p'q - pq' = 1 (e.g.  $1/3 \rightarrow 2/5$ ) Superuniversality of QH transitions

CAN WE REPRODUCE THIS STRUCTURE (AND OTHER UNIVERSAL TRANSPORT FEATURES) IN A SINGLE HOLOGRAPHIC MODEL EMPLOYING THE MODULAR GROUP ACTION?

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 Holographic model based on SL(2, Z) invariance with GAPPED Quantum Hall states



Introduction: Modular invariance in FQHE

Review: Dyonic Black Holes and Modular Invariance

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

The SL(2,Z) invariant model

**Conclusions & Further Directions** 

Main idea of [1007.2490,1008.1917,1409.1369] : Use an SL(2,Z) (or SL(2,R)) invariant Einstein-Maxwell-Axio-Dilaton action to generate dyonic black branes by acting on known purely electrically charged black branes.

$$S = M_{Pl}^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ R - \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \frac{\partial \tau \partial \bar{\tau}}{\tau_2^2} + V(\tau, \bar{\tau}) - \frac{1}{4} \left( \tau_2 F^2 + \tau_1 F \tilde{F} \right) \right]$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Main idea of [1007.2490,1008.1917,1409.1369] : Use an SL(2,Z) (or SL(2,R)) invariant Einstein-Maxwell-Axio-Dilaton action to generate dyonic black branes by acting on known purely electrically charged black branes.

$$S = M_{Pl}^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ R - \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \frac{\partial \tau \partial \bar{\tau}}{\tau_2^2} + \frac{V(\tau, \bar{\tau})}{4} - \frac{1}{4} \left( \tau_2 F^2 + \tau_1 F \tilde{F} \right) \right]$$

- Filling fraction and all other observables inherit the group action automatically.
- SL(2,Z)/SL(2,R) acts on the fields as

$$au = \mathbf{a} + i\mathbf{e}^{\gamma\phi} = au_1 + i au_2, \quad au o \frac{\mathbf{a} au + \mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{c} au + \mathbf{d}}, \quad d\mathbf{s}^2 o d\mathbf{s}^2 \text{ and}$$
  
 $F o F' = (\mathbf{c} au_1 + \mathbf{d})F - \mathbf{c} au_2\tilde{F}$ 

Dyonic black branes have charges

$$Q_e' = aQ_e$$
,  $Q_m' = cQ_e$ 

Any rational (SL(2,Z)) or real (SL(2,R)) filling fraction generated in this way.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)



Dyonic domain wall solutions flow to

$$\tau'_{1*} = \frac{a}{c} \text{ and } \tau'_{2*} = {\tau_{2*}}^{-1} = \mathbf{0}$$

The filling fraction is hence equal to the value of the transformed axion

$$\nu = \frac{Q'_e}{Q'_m} = \frac{a}{c} = \tau'_{1*} \,,$$

which can be roughly though of setting the Chern-Simons level in the dual field theory. [1007.2490].

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Dyonic domain wall solutions flow to

$$\tau'_{1*} = \frac{a}{c}$$
 and  $\tau'_{2*} = {\tau_{2*}}^{-1} = 0$ 

The filling fraction is hence equal to the value of the transformed axion

$$\nu = \frac{Q'_e}{Q'_m} = \frac{a}{c} = \tau'_{1*} \,,$$

which can be roughly though of setting the Chern-Simons level in the dual field theory. [1007.2490] .

[1007.2490] used a SL(2, R) invariant model without scalar potential (V(τ, τ̄) = 2Λ) and with the special value γ = −1.

Their QH states are unique due to the attractor mechanism , with Hall conductivity given again by a/c.

• [1008.1917] use a SL(2, R) invariant DBI action on top of a z = 5 Lifshitz background. Besides the Hall conductivity, they reproduce the superuniversality exponents.

# SL(2, R) and Black Hole Charges

#### Two Problems:

- 1. No hard gap in the charged excitations,  $\sigma_{DC}$  vanishes as a power law.
- 2. In SL(2,R) transformations between QH states  $\sigma_{DC}|_{T=0} = 0.$ This is not experimentally observed.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

[Pan etal PRL 83 1999]

# SL(2, R) and Black Hole Charges

#### Two Problems:

- 1. No hard gap in the charged excitations,  $\sigma_{DC}$  vanishes as a power law.
- 2. In SL(2,R) transformations between QH states  $\sigma_{DC}|_{T=0} = 0.$ This is not experimentally observed.



[Pan etal PRL 83 1999]

► Our model improves on the first point by using dyonic black branes in a confined phase (i.e. with a discrete and gapped spectrum), and on the second point by using SL(2, Z) instead of SL(2, R). In particular the latter point is expected to allow for real dynamical transitions between QH states. We also impose several well-motivated physical as well as consistency constraints.



Introduction: Modular invariance in FQHE

Review: Dyonic Black Holes and Modular Invariance

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

The SL(2,Z) invariant model

**Conclusions & Further Directions** 

- In string theory, SL(2,R) is usually broken to SL(2,Z) by nonperturbative effects. This typically will generate a SL(2,Z) invariant potential for the axio-dilaton.
- A simple choice is the real-analytic Eisenstein series

$$V(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = E_s(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}^2/0,0} \left(\frac{|m+n\tau|}{\tau_2}\right)^{-s}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

- In string theory, SL(2,R) is usually broken to SL(2,Z) by nonperturbative effects. This typically will generate a SL(2,Z) invariant potential for the axio-dilaton.
- A simple choice is the real-analytic Eisenstein series

$$V(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = E_{s}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}/0,0} \left(\frac{|m+n\tau|}{\tau_{2}}\right)^{-s}$$

For large τ<sub>2</sub> the instanton expansion is dominated by a single exponential (τ<sub>2</sub> = e<sup>γφ</sup>),

$$E_s = 2\zeta(2s)\tau_2^s + 2\sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(s-1/2)}{\Gamma(s)} \zeta(2s-1)\tau_2^{1-s} + \text{instanton contributions}$$

 $\rightarrow$  [Charmousis+Gouteraux+Kim+Kiritsis+R.M. 1005.4690]

We tune the two parameters (γ, s) such that the ground states are consistent and in particular confined. We then analyse the SL(2,Z) image of the electric state and confirm that these Quantum Hall states have a gapped and discrete spectrum.

Gubser's constraint, existence of a (de)confinement transition, existence of a discrete and gapped spectrum, relevancy of UV fixed points and correct flow pattern restrict (γ, s):



Gubser's constraint, existence of a (de)confinement transition, existence of a discrete and gapped spectrum, relevancy of UV fixed points and correct flow pattern restrict (γ, s):



Gubser's constraint, existence of a (de)confinement transition, existence of a discrete and gapped spectrum, relevancy of UV fixed points and correct flow pattern restrict (γ, s):



▶ QH Plateaux? Runaway minima at  $\tau_1 = \frac{p}{q}$ ,  $\tau_2 = 0$  are the images of the CDBH at  $\tau_2 = \infty$ , with charges

$$\frac{Q_e}{Q_m} = \frac{p}{q} = \tau_{1*} \, .$$

**IR Geometry:** Magnetically charged DBH w.  $\tau_2 = e^{-\gamma\phi}$ 

RG Flows: E<sub>s</sub> is stationary in the fundamental domain at the SL(2,Z) fixed points:



Since SL(2,Z) commutes with the RG flow it suffices to construct the RG flows inside the fundamental domain:



|▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ 臣 のへで

By SL(2,Z) we can generate flows to any QH plateaux τ<sub>1</sub> = p/q. E.g. ν = 1 :



∃ 990

Conductivity in el. Frame: At low enough temperatures the electric state is in a confined phase. The conductivity at small ω is dominated by the contribution from translation invariance:

$$\sigma_{xx}(\omega) \simeq \frac{iC''\mu}{\omega} + C''\delta(\omega) + \dots$$

We found by numerically solving the fluctuation equations

*C*'' = *O*(1)

▶ Using *SL*(2, *Z*) we find the correct Hall conductivity

$$\sigma_{xy}^{dyon} = Re\left(\frac{a\sigma + b}{c\sigma + d}\right) = \frac{(a\sigma_{xy} + b)(c\sigma_{xy} + d) + ac\sigma_{xx}^2}{(c\sigma_{xy} + d)^2 + c^2\sigma_{xx}^2} = \frac{a}{c} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2)$$

- Consistent with direct small  $\omega$  calculation of  $\sigma_{xy}$  in dyonic frame.
- But are the dyonic domain walls really gapped?

In general dyonic solutions with running scalars the vector fluctuation equations can be decoupled into a single second order equation by

$$E_{z} = \omega(\delta A_{x} + i\delta A_{y}) + hg_{rr}(\delta g^{x}_{t} - i\delta g^{y}_{t}).$$

$$[0910.0645]$$

$$E_{z}'' + F(r,\omega)E_{z}' + G(r\omega)E_{z} = 0$$

With  $\Psi(r) = E_z(r)e^{\frac{1}{2}\int dr F(z)}$  this is equivalent to

$$-\Psi'' + V(r,\omega)\Psi = 0 \quad V(r,\omega) = \frac{1}{4} \left(F^2 - 4G + 2\partial_r F\right)$$

For our choice of γ, s and at low frequencies:

$$V \sim r^{-|\alpha|} \to +\infty$$
 in the IR  
 $V \sim \frac{1}{4L^2}$  in the UV.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

The spectrum is hence gapped in EVERY QH state!



• E.g. Flow to filling fraction one from  $\tau = 1 + i$ :

(solid: smaller w, dashed: bigger w)

N.B.: The singularity in the potential is an accessory singularity. i.e. there is no monodromy, and the singularity is traversable by the wavefunctions. This was not appreciated in e.g. [0910.0645]]

A Gapped Holographic FQH State



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

The first bound state defines the charge gap. We find numerically that the gap and spectrum are approximately independent of the SL(2,Z) frame:

$$rac{n}{B}=rac{a}{c}\,,\quad B=cQ_{el.}$$

We scanned  $(a, c) \in [-20, ..., 20]$ , i.e. filling fractions of  $\left|\frac{n}{B}\right| \in [1/20, 20]$ , with  $\omega_0$  varying less than 1%.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• A better analytic understanding is clearly needed.

The first bound state defines the charge gap. We find numerically that the gap and spectrum are approximately independent of the SL(2,Z) frame:

$$rac{n}{B}=rac{a}{c}\,,\quad B=cQ_{el.}$$

We scanned  $(a, c) \in [-20, ..., 20]$ , i.e. filling fractions of  $\left|\frac{n}{B}\right| \in [1/20, 20]$ , with  $\omega_0$  varying less than 1%.

- A better analytic understanding is clearly needed.
- N.B.: Excitations are not Landau levels, but rather "charged mesons" (as in holographic QCD).
- Our flows also show an anomalous Hall effect:

$$\sigma_{xy}^{AHE}=\tau_1^{UV}$$

At least two UV completions for each QH state. IR physics universal.



Introduction: Modular invariance in FQHE

Review: Dyonic Black Holes and Modular Invariance

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

The SL(2,Z) invariant model

**Conclusions & Further Directions** 

#### Conclusions

- We improved on [1007.2490(,1008.1917)] by constructing holographic fractional Quantum Hall states with a gapped and discrete charge spectrum. We use a SL(2,Z) invariant Eisenstein potential , and showed that the QH states have the correct Hall conductivity , and a real gap (no  $\delta(\omega)$  pole). The charged quasiparticle spectrum is independent of filling fraction and applied magnetic field.
- Future Directions/Open Questions:
  - 1. Interpretation of the two UV fixed points?
  - 2. Role of other IR fixed points ( $AdS_2$  and running  $\tau_1$ )? Transitions between QH Plateaux as a QPT? Universal Phenomenology?
  - 3. Why gap nearly SL(2, Z) invariant?
  - 4. How to break SL(2,Z)? *B* and *n*/*B* dependent gap? How to include impurities? How to get plateaux?
  - 5. Subgroups  $-\Gamma_0(2)/\Gamma_\theta(2)$ ?
  - 6. Top-down constructions?

STAY TUNED!

[G. Semenoff et.al.]

## **Backup Slides**

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

#### **QH** Plateaux Transition

Transition is a 2nd order QPT :

[Fisher '90]

- ► Simple scaling  $\Rightarrow \sigma(T, \Delta B, n, ...) = \sigma(\Delta B/T^{\kappa}, n/T^{\kappa'}, ...)$
- Superuniversality:  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  are same for all transitions
- Experimentally:  $\kappa = \kappa' = 0.42 \pm 0.01$

[Wanli et al 2009]

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 Semicircle law: Conductivity sweeps out a semicircle in *σ* plane during QH transitions
 [e.g. Burgess etal 1008.1917]



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

• [Burgess etal 1008.1917] realized that the DC conductivity in the QH state of [1007.2490] vanishes due to the momentum-conservation pole in  $\Im \sigma_{XX}$  of the purely electric solution.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- [Burgess etal 1008.1917] realized that the DC conductivity in the QH state of [1007.2490] vanishes due to the momentum-conservation pole in  $\Im \sigma_{XX}$  of the purely electric solution.
- They introduce dissipation by separating the sector that generates the gravity background of [1007.2490] from the sector of charge carriers, which they model using a SL(2,R) invariant probe brane

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= & M_{Pl}^2 \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[ R - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} \left( (\partial \phi)^2 + e^{2\phi} (\partial a)^2 \right) \right] + \\ &+ M_{Pl}^2 \mathcal{S}_{\text{Lifshitz}} + \mathcal{S}_{\text{gauge}} \end{split}$$

The first two terms are assumed to be separately SL(2,R) invariant, and  $S_{Lifshitz}$  to be chosen such as to generate the metric of the z = 5 Lifshitz black hole of [1007.2490], together with an appropriate axio-dilaton profile.

S<sub>gauge</sub> is taken to be a SL(2,R) invariant version of the DBI action, treated in the probe limit:

$$egin{array}{rcl} S_{gauge}&=&-T\int d^4x\left[\sqrt{-det\left(g_{\mu
u}+\ell^2e^{-\phi/2}F_{\mu
u}
ight)}-\sqrt{-g}
ight]\ &-rac{1}{4}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}aF_{\mu
u} ilde{F}^{\mu
u} \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

S<sub>gauge</sub> is taken to be a SL(2,R) invariant version of the DBI action, treated in the probe limit:

$$egin{aligned} S_{gauge} &= -T \int d^4 x \left[ \sqrt{-det \left( g_{\mu
u} + \ell^2 e^{-\phi/2} F_{\mu
u} 
ight)} - \sqrt{-g} 
ight] \ &- rac{1}{4} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} a F_{\mu
u} ilde{F}^{\mu
u} \end{aligned}$$

This describes self-interacting charge carriers coupled to a large reservoir of quantum critical excitations into which they can loose energy via dissipation:



The method of [Karch, O'Bannon '07] is used to calculate the (nonlinear) DC conductivity in the purely electric background solution

- The method of [Karch, O'Bannon '07] is used to calculate the (nonlinear) DC conductivity in the purely electric background solution
- The QH state conductivity is then inferred by a SL(2,R) (or a subgroup such as Γ<sub>0</sub>(2)) transformation

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{\sigma_0}{d^2 + c^2 \sigma_0^2}, \quad \sigma_{xy} = \frac{ac\sigma_0^2 + bd}{d^2 + c^2 \sigma_0^2},$$

with  $\sigma_0(T/\mu)$  the DC conductivity of the probe brane in the purely electric state (with  $\sigma_{yx} = 0$ ). For probe branes in Lifshitz backgrounds like

$$ds_{z}^{2} = L^{2} \left[ -h(r) \frac{dt^{2}}{r^{2z}} + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2}h(r)} + \frac{dx^{2} + dy^{2}}{r^{2}} \right]$$

 $\sigma_0$  grows monotonically with falling temperature  $\propto T^{-2/z}$ , and parametrizes the RG flow of the conductivity in the QH state.

- The method of [Karch, O'Bannon '07] is used to calculate the (nonlinear) DC conductivity in the purely electric background solution
- The QH state conductivity is then inferred by a SL(2,R) (or a subgroup such as Γ<sub>0</sub>(2)) transformation

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{\sigma_0}{d^2 + c^2 \sigma_0^2}, \quad \sigma_{xy} = \frac{ac\sigma_0^2 + bd}{d^2 + c^2 \sigma_0^2},$$

with  $\sigma_0(T/\mu)$  the DC conductivity of the probe brane in the purely electric state (with  $\sigma_{yx} = 0$ ). For probe branes in Lifshitz backgrounds like

$$ds_{z}^{2} = L^{2} \left[ -h(r) \frac{dt^{2}}{r^{2z}} + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2}h(r)} + \frac{dx^{2} + dy^{2}}{r^{2}} \right]$$

 $\sigma_0$  grows monotonically with falling temperature  $\propto T^{-2/z}$ , and parametrizes the RG flow of the conductivity in the QH state.

This temperature flow commutes with SL(2,R) or any subgroup.

The four parameters of the necessary SL(2,R) transformation are fixed by the data of the endpoint (Q'<sub>e</sub>, Q'<sub>m</sub>, a, e<sup>-φ</sup>). The temperature flow of the conductivities then trace out semi-circles in the σ plane, and for small T asymptote to (in linear response)



This also predicts the superuniversality exponents  $\kappa \approx \frac{2}{z} = \kappa'$  close to the measured value if z = 5 as in [1007.2490].

However there is still no hard gap. .