

Crete, September 2014

## defect CFT and M-theory

**Costas Bachas** (ENS, Paris)





## put in context

In the 70's there was a spectacular convergence of interests

#### of particle theorists and condensed-matter physicists,

thanks to **Quantum Field Theory** and the **Renormalization group**:



#### the Standard Model is a renormalizable QFT

(after much 'wandering': Fermi theory, quark models, analytic S-matrix ...)

 Universality put some order in the zoo of phenomena of condensed-matter systems A new convergence 40 years later ?

Quantum Gravity (String Theory) led to new tools & phenomena:

- black hole evaporation

- dualities, D-branes, <u>holography</u>, non-Lagrangian QFTs

Makes it possible to analyze a wealth of strong-coupling phenomena, in particular in 3 dimensions

But are these realized in condensed-matter systems?

traditional perspectives ....



in holography the story is blurred :



Is there a field theory ? Does it describe real systems ?

Use gravity to learn about strongly-coupled electrons



Where do (susy gauge) QFTs flow to ?

Use QFT to learn about quantum gravity ?



$$-\mathcal{L}_{(11)} = R + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4!} F^2 + \frac{1}{6} A \wedge F \wedge F$$

both IR and UV QFTs mysterious - The QFTs describe collective modes of extended solitons/branes

- The near-horizon AdS describes the CFT at the IR fixed point

Basic critical theories with <u>maximal susy</u>:

d=4, N=4 SYM	AdS <sub>5</sub> x S <sub>5</sub>	(IIB) D3-branes
d=3, N>5 ABJM	Ads <sub>4</sub> x s <sub>7</sub> /z <sub>k</sub>	M2-branes
d=6, N=(0,2) CFT	AdS <sub>7</sub> x S <sub>4</sub>	M5-branes

?

To reduce supersymmetry must consider **intersecting branes**:



(d+1) - dim defect in (p+1) - dim CFT or in (p'+1) - dim CFT

### **Special case**: p = d + 1, **& branes ending on branes**:



(2) **boundary** 



(1) **'domain-wall' defect** 



(3) (**d**+1)-dim CFT

**Defect QFTs** have rich coupled dynamics, RG flows & fixed points.



e.g Kondo, external quark .....

Gravity duals often treated approximately:

- probe approximation (AdS probe brane in AdS bulk)
  - (partial) **smearing** (restore translation symmetry)

In past five years, progress in obtaining fully **back-reacting**, **localized** solutions, describing strongly-coupled fixed points.

# Work contained in hep-th/1103.2800, 1106.4253, 1210.2590 & hep-th/1312.5477, on-going

my collaborators:











Benjamin Assel

Eric **D'Hoker** 

(not sleepy!) John **Estes** 

Jaume Gomis

Darya Krym

to summarize:

 I will describe some 1/2-maximal susy solutions of 11d (and 10d, IIB) supergravity, dual to critical dCFTs

- Strongly-coupled 3D critical theories; but far from any 'real' cond-mat systems (though little closer than ABJM or N=4 SYM)

— Simplest intersections of basic M2 & M5 branes: learn about most mysterious d=6 SCFT

## **REST OF THE TALK**

- 1. General setup
- 2. Interfaces for N=4 SYM
- 3. Conformal limits & holography
  - 4. The M2 M5 M5' system
- 5. Global solutions & questions

### 1. General setup

Will (mainly) consider conformal codimension-1 defects



- The boundary CFT is one of the maximally susy theories

 In the full solution, the thin brane is replaced by a smooth configuration, with the same underlying symmetry:



This large symmetry is encoded by the ansatz:



## Thus the (Killing-spinor) equations reduce to PDEs on a d=2 Riemann surface.

The general local solution of these equations was derived

— for type-IIB sugra, in a series of beautiful papers by D'Hoker, Estes & Gutperle '08 (based also on earlier work by Gomis & Romelsberger; Lunin) Everything depends on two harmonic functions  $h_1, h_2$ 

D'Hoker, Estes, Gutperle & Krym '09 — for **11d sugra** by Estes, Feldman & Krym '12 CB, D'Hoker, Estes & Krym '13 Everything depends on a harmonic function h , and a

complex function G obeying:  $h \partial G = \operatorname{Re}(G) \partial h$ 

#### for example, in type-IIB:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{metric}: & ds^2 = f_4^2 ds_{{\rm AdS}_4}^2 + f_1^2 ds_{{\rm S}_1^2}^2 + f_2^2 ds_{{\rm S}_2^2}^2 + 4\rho^2 dz d\bar{z} \ , \\ f_4^8 &= & 16 \, \frac{N_1 N_2}{W^2} \ , & f_1^8 &= & 16 \, h_1^8 \frac{N_2 W^2}{N_1^3} \ , & f_2^8 = 16 \, h_2^8 \frac{N_1 W^2}{N_2^3} \\ & \rho^8 = \frac{N_1 N_2 W^2}{h_1^4 h_2^4} \\ \mbox{dilaton}: & e^{4\phi} = \frac{N_2}{N_1} \\ \mbox{where} & & W = \partial h_1 \bar{\partial} h_2 + \bar{\partial} h_1 \partial h_2 = \partial \bar{\partial} (h_1 h_2) \ , \\ & N_1 = 2h_1 h_2 |\partial h_1|^2 - h_1^2 W \ , & N_2 = 2h_1 h_2 |\partial h_2|^2 - h_2^2 W \ . \end{array}$$

<u>3-form & 5-forms</u> .....

.....

.....

-----

and in 11d supergravity:

$$ds^2 = f_1^2 \ ds^2_{AdS_3} + f_2^2 \ ds^2_{S_2^3} + f_3^2 \ ds^2_{S_3^3} + \rho^2 |dw|^2 \ ,$$

$$f_1^6 = \frac{h^2 W_+ W_-}{c_1^6 (G\bar{G} - 1)^2} , \qquad f_2^6 = \frac{h^2 (G\bar{G} - 1) W_-}{c_2^3 c_3^3 W_+^2} ,$$

$$\rho^{6} = \frac{|\partial_{w}h|^{6}}{c_{2}^{3}c_{3}^{3}h^{4}} (G\bar{G}-1)W_{+}W_{-} , \quad f_{3}^{6} = \frac{h^{2}(G\bar{G}-1)W_{+}}{c_{2}^{3}c_{3}^{3}W_{-}^{2}} ,$$



There is also an expression for the 4-form field.

The task is then to find:

## — The **admissible singularities** on the surface $\sum$

asymptotic regions, or coordinate sings

- Global solutions

- Their interpretation in QFT

#### 2. Interfaces of N=4 SYM

Gaiotto & Witten '08 proposed a classification of non-trivial IR fixed points

**Starting point**: realize as intersections of **D3**-branes with **D5**, **NS5**-branes



To preserve 1/2 supersymmetry, the (probe) branes must be oriented as follows:

	012	3	456	789
D3				
<b>D</b> 5				
NS <sub>5</sub>				

The superconformal symmetry is:

 $PSU(2,2|4) \supset OSp(4|4,R) \supset SO(2,3) \times SO(3) \times SO(3)$ R-symmetry Some standard string-theory technology allows to read off the microscopic (UV) Lagrangian(s). Instrumental for this is the

Hanany-Witten move:



Using such moves, can bring the ('good') configurations to one of 3 equivalent standard forms:

**D5-branes** have <u>no</u> **D3**-branes ending or emanating from them



NS5-branes have <u>no</u> D3-branes ending or emanating from them





The microscopic (UV) field theory can be read off the first two, equivalent (in the IR) pictures, e.g.





There is a dual ('magnetic') theory with **blue** exchanged with **red**. The gauge-group ranks and numbers of matter fields are also determined by  $\rho$  and  $\hat{\rho}$ , and are denoted by hats.

After all the dust has settled, one is left with a rich set of **linear-quiver, (defect) QFTs** that live on the branes:



<u>NB</u>: Setting  $n_L = n_R = 0$  gives a d=3 QFT

## 3. IR fixed-points & holography

Consider for simplicity  $n_L = n_R = 0$ 

d=3 gauge theories flow in general to strong coupling in the IR

Gaiotto & Witten guessed a simple criterion for the existence of a (non-trivial) superconformal IR theory:

$$\rho^T > \hat{\rho}$$

where the (partial) ordering of Young tableaux is defined by:

( # of boxes in first n columns of ho) > (# boxes in first n rows of  $\hat{
ho}$ )  $\forall n$ 



## These conjectured N=4 3d SCFTs are dubbed $T^{\hat{\rho}}_{\rho}(SU(N))$

Global supergravity solutions with the required topology are in **1-to-1** correspondence with these putative IR theories

> Assel, CB, Estes & Gomis Aharony, Berdichevsky, Berkooz & Shamir

 $\sum \text{ has topology of disk. Regularity requires that } h_1, h_2 > 0 \text{ in }$ in the interior, while on  $\partial \Sigma$ :  $\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \text{ Neuman} \\ h_2 \text{ Dirichlet} \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} h_2 \text{ Neuman} \\ h_1 \text{ Dirichlet} \end{pmatrix}$ 

(one of the two  $\,S^2$  shrinks to zero)

3 types of admissible singularities on the boundary:

Stack of  $\hat{M}$  NS5 with linking #  $\hat{\ell}$ 





$$h_1 \simeq -M \log w + \dots + c.c.$$
  
 $h_2 \simeq -i\pi M\ell + \dots + c.c.$ 

Stack of 
$$n = \frac{a_2b_1 - a_1b_2}{2\pi}$$
 semi-infinite D3



Important point:  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$  gives just a coordinate singularity

#### The full solution :

$$h_1 = -i\alpha \sinh(2z - \beta) - \sum_{a=1}^q M_a \log\left[\tanh\left(\frac{i\pi}{2} - (z - \delta_a)\right)\right]$$
$$h_2 = \hat{\alpha} \cosh(2z - \hat{\beta}) - \sum_{b=1}^{\hat{q}} \hat{M}_b \log\left[\tanh\left(z - \hat{\delta}_b\right)\right]$$

.......







Several open questions, e.g. weak-rank link:



'approximate wormhole' background

**ER = EPR ?** *Maldacena, Susskind* 

#### 4. M2 - M5 - M5'

Consider next the 1/4-BPS configurations of M theory:

	012	3	4567	<b>789</b> 10
M2				
M5				
M5'				

The dual defect field theory is either (i) a **domain wall of ABJM**, or (ii) a **self-dual string** of the d=6 theory, or (iii) a d=2 (4,4) SCFT.

The story looks very similar to type-IIB, but there are notable differences.

<u>First</u>: little is known on the field theory side. In particular, the degrees of freedom on the M2-M5 intersection are not understood.

cf Howe, Lambert & West '97; Harvey & Basu '04; .... Niarchos & Siampos '12

Second: the superconformal algebra  $D(2,1;\gamma) \oplus D(2,1;\gamma)$  depends on a real parameter  $\gamma$ . The bosonic part is  $SO(2,2) \times SO(4) \times SO(4)$ ;  $\gamma$  enters only in the fermionic part, as well as in its affine N = (4,4)extension. In this latter  $|\gamma| = \frac{k_1}{k_2}$  is the ratio of Kac-Moody levels.

> Gunaydin, Sierra, Townsend '86 Sevrin, Troost, Van Proeyen '88

 $\gamma \to 1/\gamma$  is a symmetry. Furthermore, for special values of  $\gamma$  $D(2,1;\gamma) \oplus D(2,1;\gamma)$  can be embedded in a bigger superalgebra:



 $AdS_4 \times S^7$ 



 $D(2,1;\gamma,0) \oplus D(2,1;\gamma,0) \subset OSp(8^*|4)$ 

 $AdS_7 \times S^4$ 

The  $\gamma$  - moduli space :



Recall: we are now solving the 11d supergravity equations:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = T_{\mu\nu}(F) \qquad \mbox{4-form} \\ d \wedge \ ^*F = F \wedge F$$

#### or, more exactly, the **Killing spinor** equations:

$$\nabla_{\mu}\epsilon + \frac{1}{2^{6}3^{2}} \left[-\gamma_{\mu}(F \cdot \gamma) + 3(F \cdot \gamma)\gamma_{\mu}\right] \epsilon = 0$$

with isometries  $SO(2,2) \times SO(4) \times SO(4)$ 

The general form of the solutions is a fibration of  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3$ over a Riemann surface  $\Sigma$ . The background is determined by the harmonic function h and the complex function G obeying

$$\partial \bar{\partial} h = 0$$
,  $h \partial G = \operatorname{Real}(G) \partial h$ 

and the regularity conditions:



One immediate <u>corollary</u>:

All solutions come in continuous families parametrized by  $\left|\gamma\right|$  .

This includes the basic AdS4xS7 and AdS7xS4 backgrounds

In solutions with both M5 and M5' charges, changing  $|\gamma|$  rescales these charges in opposite directions.

The sign of labels two distinct branches of solutions.

To proceed, we solved completely the problem locally, and characterized admissible singularities & asymptotics.

There are 4 types of allowed singularity near  $\partial\Sigma$  :



Note:



Thus, in the first two types of singularity (where the conformal boundary is higher-dimensional) the scale factor  $f_1$  must diverge.

The topology of these local solutions is as follows:





The wraped M5 has divergent  $\,G$  and a simple zero of  $\,h$  The other three have finite  $\,G$  and a simple pole of  $\,h$ 

**Combine these Lego pieces in global solutions ?** 

## 5. Global solutions & questions

... in progress

#### Possible **conformal** boundaries ( $\times$ time ):



## A simple 'theorem': (Near) Uniqueness of solutions dual to SCFT2

Proof: From the metric expressions one finds



This implies that singularities of h are **loci** where the  $AdS_3$  radius **blows up**. So, if we want the conformal boundary to be 2-dimensional, then h must be everywhere smooth. This means that h = 0

or  $\Sigma$  has no boundary and h = constant.

The corresponding solutions are  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times (\mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma)$ (this is the near-horizon geometry of configurations with 5-branes smeared in their common transverse coordinate ).

Boonstra, Peeters, Skenderis '98



A consequence of the Mermin-Wagner theorem ?

Two more 'general' statements:

No solutions with disconnected boundary ('wormholes').

For  $\gamma < 0$  we can prove this; for  $\gamma > 0$  have found no solutions.

cf Witten & Yau, hep-th/9910245;

Galloway, Schleich, Witt, Woolgar hep-th/9912119

This excludes also solutions extrapolating between  $\ AdS_7 \times S^4$  and  $\ AdS_4 \times S^7$  ('rigidity')

#### G finite

For  $\gamma < 0$  one can prove a stronger result: h has at most one singularity, so at most one stack of semi-infinite M2-branes.

No interface CFT3 for negative  $\gamma$ 



#### Solutions with one asymptotic region



 $M5~{\rm or~semi-infinite}~M2~{\rm at}~\infty$ 



Can calculate the charges of these solutions. For **all of them**, they seem to be in one-to-one correspondence with **Young tableaux**; reminiscent of the study of Wilson lines in N=4 SYM

> Yamaguchi '06; Gomis & Passerini '06; Okuda & Trancanelli '08; D'Hoker, Estes, Gutperle '07



$$\hat{N}_3^{(M5)}$$
  $\hat{N}_2^{(M5)}$   $\hat{N}_1^{(M5)}$ 



These solutions describe the long-sought IR limit of

localized M2-branes ending on M5-branes.

They exist for all  $\gamma$ , both from the M2-brane perspective (ABJM boundaries) and from the M5-brane perspective (self-dual strings in 6d)

There is a  $\gamma = 0$  transition at which the coordinate singularities become wraped M5-brane singularities.

Have not found general intersecting M2-M5 solutions (ABJM interfaces)
 Only a smooth, Janus solution with no 5-brane charge

D'Hoker, Estes, Gutperle, Krym '09 Bobev, Pilch, Warner '13 Much remains to be done :

Finish the task of finding all solutions ?

Count degrees of freedom, put at finite T

Understand these dCFTs from the QFT side

6d - 3d defect duality?

What do we learn about M theory ? Can these be used for CMT ?

## !! many thanks to the local organizers !!



