

- Introduction and motivation
- Higher order hydrodynamics
- Hydrodynamic expansion from holography
- Properties of hydrodynamic series
- Conclusions

There are several reasons to study the hydrodynamics within AdS/CFT framework:

- Ongoing programme of strongly coupled plasma investigation at LHC, RHIC
- Strongly coupled dynamics of non-abelian gauge theory at finite temperature
- Possible insight into non-equilibrium physics beyond hydrodynamics

What is hydrodynamics?

• It is an effective theory of low energy dynamics of conserved charges, which remain after integrating high energy d.o.f.:

$$\nabla_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu}(x) = 0$$

- It assumes local thermal equilibrium, which introduces effective collective degrees of freedom: local temperature T(x), velocity field $u^{\mu}(x)$ and other conserved quantities, varying only on large scales: $\epsilon = \frac{l_{mfp}}{L} << 1$
- Thermodynamic variables in $T_{\mu\nu}(T(x), u^{\mu}(x), ...)$ can be expanded in gradients, $\frac{\nabla T}{T^2} \sim \epsilon$, to obtain viscous contributions to perfect fluid
- Usually we take this series "for granted" and use it as the hydrodynamic equation, but is it a convergent expansion?

Equations of hydrodynamics

• Recent progress in fluid/gravity duality allowed for derivation of 2^{nd} order viscous conformal hydrodynamics in d = 4 (up to 3^{rd} ord. in boost-invariant case)

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\pi T)^4 (\eta^{\mu\nu} + 4u^{\mu}u^{\nu}) - 2(\pi T)^3 \sigma^{\mu\nu} + (\pi T)^2 (\ln(2)T^{\mu\nu}_{2a} + 2T^{\mu\nu}_{2b} + (2 - \ln(2))(\frac{1}{3}T^{\mu\nu}_{2c} + T^{\mu\nu}_{2d} + T^{\mu\nu}_{2e}))$$

• Where transport coefficients are: $\eta, \ au_{\Pi}, \ \kappa, \lambda_1, \ \lambda_2, \ \lambda_3$, and

$$\begin{split} \sigma^{\mu\nu} &= P^{\mu\alpha}P^{\nu\beta}\partial_{(\alpha}u_{\beta)} - \frac{1}{3}P^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\alpha}u^{\alpha} \\ T^{\mu\nu}_{2a} &= \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma(\mu}\sigma^{\nu)}_{\gamma}u_{\alpha}l_{\beta} \\ T^{\mu\nu}_{2b} &= \sigma^{\mu\alpha}\sigma^{\nu}_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{3}P^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \\ T^{\mu\nu}_{2c} &= \partial_{\alpha}u^{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu\nu} \\ T^{\mu\nu}_{2d} &= Du^{\mu}Du^{\nu} - \frac{1}{3}P^{\mu\nu}Du^{\alpha}Du_{\alpha} \\ T^{\mu\nu}_{2e} &= P^{\mu\alpha}P^{\nu\beta}D(\partial_{(\alpha}u_{\beta)}) - \frac{1}{3}P^{\mu\nu}P^{\alpha\beta}D(\partial_{\alpha}u_{\beta}) \\ l_{\mu} &= \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu}u^{\alpha}\partial^{\beta}u^{\gamma}, \ D = u^{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}, \ P^{\mu\nu} = u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + \eta^{\mu\nu}. \end{split}$$

Full nonlinear result Perturbative considerations Conclusions

Particular dual hydrodynamics from AdS/CFT MH, RJ, PW, PRL 108, 201602 (2012)

- Consider boost-invariant d = 4 conformal fluid, the Bjorken model for RHIC
- Boundary coordinates are such that: $ds^2 = -d au^2 + au^2 dy^2 + dx_\perp^2$
- The stress tensor obeying symmetries has just one unknown function $\epsilon(\tau)$, to be specified by AdS dual evolution:

$$T^{\mu}_{\nu} = Diag(-\epsilon(\tau), p_L(\tau), p_T(\tau), p_T(\tau)), \ T^{\mu}_{\mu} = 0$$
$$p_L = -\epsilon - \tau \epsilon', \ p_T = \epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\tau \epsilon', \ u = \partial_{\tau}, \ u^2 = -1$$

- This system models the QGP expansion at mid-rapidity region (" ∞ " collision energy) and is motivated by the search for the rapid themalization mechanism
- To such a fluid one can construct a gravity dual
- In order to trace the whole evolution and thermalization, full nonlinear spectral numerical simulation was developed, employing ADM-like formulation
- ullet $\epsilon(au)$ was then obtained from the numerical solution

Full nonlinear result Perturbative considerations Conclusions

"Infinite order" hydrodynamics

- In this much constrained system one can rewrite the hydrodyamics equations $abla_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ in a very interesting fashon
- $\bullet\,$ Those equations are first order in time, and we only have proper time τ as an independent variable
- By introducing dimensionless variable $w = T_{eff}(\tau) \tau \sim \epsilon^{1/4}(\tau) \tau$ we can write:

$$\frac{\tau}{w}\frac{d}{d\tau}w = \frac{F_{hydro}(w)}{w}$$

- F_{hydro}(w)/w is in hydrodynamic regime completely determined by transport coefficients and universal
- On every hydrodynamic solution it evaluates to unity (it is the definition of the hydrodynamic equation)
- From numerical simulations we can independently read-off $\epsilon'(\tau)$ and $\epsilon(\tau)$, so we can parametrically plot the function $F_{hydro}(w)/w$
- But that function from full nonlinear evolution contains the whole information on the plasma dynamics, even beyond equilibrium and hydrodynamics
- Thus one can observe the transition to 'all-order' hydrodynamics, and also what happens before it

Full nonlinear result Perturbative considerations Conclusions

"Infinite order" hydrodynamics



ъ

э

Full nonlinear result Perturbative considerations Conclusions

Tempting possibility

• The late time expansion of the function F(w)/w is known explicitly up to 3^{rd} order in the boost invariant case:

$$\frac{F(w)}{w} = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{9\pi w} + \frac{1 - \ln 2}{27\pi^2 w^2} + \frac{15 - 2\pi^2 - 45\ln 2 + 24\ln^2 2}{972\pi^3 w^3} + \dots$$

- Is it possible to obtain expression for F(w)/w to some very high order in 1/w and resume it?
- Could it give some insight into the deep non-equilibrium region of Fig 1?
- Extension of hydrodynamic F(w)/w could incorporate somehow the genuine non-equilibrium D.O.F.
- Result of simulation shows that the plot is regular (althoug very diverse) before the hydrodynamic regime
- Similarly, how would the energy density $\epsilon(au)$ look like after such a resummation?
- Is it possible to extend the plots to au=0?
- We address these questions next

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Two approaches to the energy density series

- There are in principle two ways to obtain perturbative contributions to energy density from gravity
- One is to consider fluid/gravity duality in the long wavelength regime and employ gradients expansion
- This permits only slow metric variations but of arbitrarily large scale
- Thus it includes black hole formation, horizon dynamics and viscous processes, like entropy production
- The other way is to consider linearized evolution on a given background
- This way leads to quasinormal modes and arbitrarily fast evolution, however without dissipation
- One can even consider "QNM" of dynamic geometries (R. Janik, R. Peschanski, 2006)
- Is there some link between these two approaches?

Full nonlinear result Perturbative considerations Conclusions

Gradient expansion for numerics MH, RJ, PW, PRL 110, 211602 (2013)

- We can construct semi-analytic series for $\epsilon(au)$ and F(w)/w from a dual metric
- Utilizing fluid/gravity duality, we start by choosing the metric (in proper-time E-F coordinates) as:

$$ds^{2} = 2d\tau dr - Ad\tau^{2} + \Sigma^{2}e^{-2B}dy^{2} + \Sigma^{2}e^{B}(dx_{1}^{2} + dx_{2}^{2})$$

- Functions A, B, Σ depend on τ and r, and are systematically corrected in powers of $\tau^{-2/3}$
- Analytically known late time energy density,

$$\epsilon(\tau) = \frac{3}{8}N^2\pi^2\frac{1}{\tau^{4/3}}(\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3\frac{1}{\tau^{2/3}} + \epsilon_4\frac{1}{\tau^{4/3}} + ...),$$

reflects gradient expansion of velocity u^{μ} in units of temperature T: $T^{-1}\nabla_{\mu}u_{\nu} \sim \epsilon^{-1/4}\tau^{-1} \sim \tau^{1/3}\tau^{-1} = \tau^{-2/3}$

- Terms ϵ_i are the first few transport coefficients
- We want to compute this series to very high order

High order energy density

- Using gradient expansion in proper time, expression for energy density $\epsilon(\tau)$ (and thus F(w)/w) was obtained, up to order 240, à la M. Heller et al., 2009
- \bullet Einstein equations were analytically expanded in time τ and numerically integrated in the bulk variable r
- Resulting semi-analytic expression for the energy density reads:

$$\epsilon(\tau) = rac{1}{ au^{4/3}} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \epsilon_i \tau^{-2/3i}, N \sim 240$$

- By construction it should describe only hydrodynamic information, as it is performed in the late time/long wavelength regime
- One could hope that including higher and higher terms would improve the quality of the energy approximation
- It turns out, not quite so..

Full nonlinear result Perturbative considerations Conclusions

Perturbative-numeric F(w)/w

• The expected improvment in 'universal hydrodynamic' function is not there:

