Exact correlation functions in 4d \mathcal{N} = 2 SCFTs

Vasilis Niarchos

University of Crete

1409.4212, 1409.4217, 1507.xxxx with **Marco Baggio** and **Kyriakos Papadodimas**

8th REGIONAL MEETING 2015 - July 6, 2015

$$d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$$

Continuous families of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs

\mathcal{N} = 2 superconformal manifold

 \Rightarrow space parametrized by $\mathcal{N} = 2$ exactly marginal couplings

spectrum, correlation functions ... vary continuously across this space

exact coupling constant dependence?

Sector of interest:

correlation functions of local 1/2-BPS operators

- non-trivial
- rich geometric structure
- largely computable...

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral rings

- R-symmetry of d=4 \mathcal{N} = 2 SCFTs : $SU(2)_R \times U(1)_R$
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral primary operators ϕ_I (+ anti-chiral)

 $SU(2)_R$ - neutral, 1/2-BPS $\overline{Q}^i_{\dotlpha}\cdot\phi_I=0\;,\quad \dotlpha=\pm\;,\;\;i=1,2$

In short multiplets saturating the bound $$\rm Dolan-Osborn, \, '02$}$, scaling dimension $\Delta \geq \frac{|R|}{2}$, represent the bound $$\rm R-charge$

Chiral ring data

• Chiral primaries form a ring (under OPE)

$$\phi_I(x)\,\phi_J(0) = C_{IJ}^K\,\phi_K(0) + \dots$$

• 2-point functions

$$\langle \phi_I(x) \,\overline{\phi}_J(0) \rangle = \frac{g_I \overline{J}}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

• 3-point functions

 $C_{IJ\bar{K}} = C_{IJ}^L g_{L\bar{K}}$

$$\left\langle \phi_I(x)\phi_J(y)\bar{\phi}_K(z)\right\rangle = \frac{C_{IJ\bar{K}}}{|x-y|^{\Delta_I + \Delta_J - \Delta_K}|x-z|^{\Delta_I + \Delta_K - \Delta_J}|y-z|^{\Delta_J + \Delta_K - \Delta_I}}$$

On a conformal manifold the 2- & 3-point function coefficients

$$g_{I\bar{J}}$$
, $C_{IJ\bar{K}}$

are non-trivial functions of the marginal coupling constants.

 $\mathbb{S} \mathcal{N} = 4$ is special: non-renormalization theorems

Lee-Minwalla-Rangamani-Seiberg '98, ..., Baggio-de Boer-Papadodimas '12

Real Access to all extremal N-point functions

$$\left\langle \phi_{I_1}(x_1) \cdots \phi_{I_n}(x_n) \overline{\phi}_J(y) \right\rangle$$

$$R_J + \sum_k R_{I_k} = 0$$
Baggio-VN-Papadodimas, '14

Geometry I

An infinitesimal deformation

$$\delta S = \frac{\delta \lambda^i}{4\pi^2} \int d^4 x \, \mathcal{O}_i(x) + \frac{\delta \overline{\lambda}^i}{4\pi^2} \int d^4 x \, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_i(x)$$

preserves the \mathcal{N} = 2 superconformal invariance iff it is the descendant of a (anti)-chiral primary with $\Delta = 2$, $R = \pm 4$

$$\mathcal{O}_i = Q^4 \cdot \phi_i \ , \ \overline{\mathcal{O}}_i = \overline{Q}^4 \cdot \overline{\phi}_i$$

indices i, j, ... for R = 4 chiral primaries

Zamolodchikov metric

The coefficient of the 2-point function

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_i(x)\overline{\mathcal{O}}_j(0)\rangle = \frac{G_{i\overline{j}}}{|x|^8}$$

Zamolodchikov '86

defines a metric on the conformal manifold **M**.

 $\mathcal{N} = 2$: with this metric **M** is a complex Kaehler manifold

$$G_{i\overline{j}} = \partial_i \partial_{\overline{j}} \mathcal{K}$$

Kaehler potential and localization

Gerchkovitz-Gomis-Komargodski '14

also Gomis-Ishtiaque '14

$$\mathcal{K} = 192 \log Z_{S^4}$$

Pestun '07

Sphere PF Z_{S^4} can be computed <u>exactly</u> with localization

 \blacksquare determines 2-point functions of the chiral primaries ϕ_i

$$g_{i\overline{j}} = \frac{G_{i\overline{j}}}{192} = \partial_i \partial_{\overline{j}} \log Z_{S^4}$$

part of $g_{I ar{J}}$ data

Geometry II

Operator mixing and quantum renormalization \rightarrow chiral primaries as sections of vector bundles \mathcal{V}_R with non-trivial connection



$$(\nabla_{\mu})_{K}^{L} = \delta_{K}^{L} \partial_{\mu} + (A_{\mu})_{K}^{L}$$
$$C_{IK}^{L} : \mathcal{V}_{R_{I}} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{R_{K}} \to \mathcal{V}_{R_{L}}$$

Superconformal Ward identities imply

$$\begin{array}{l} \overbrace{K^{*} \text{ equations}}^{\text{holomorphic}} & \underset{\text{vector bundles}}{\text{holomorphic}} \\ \left(F_{ij}\right)_{K}^{L} = \left(F_{i\bar{j}}\right)_{K}^{L} = 0 \end{array}$$

$$\left(F_{i\bar{j}}\right)_{K}^{L} = -\left[C_{i}, \bar{C}_{j}\right]_{K}^{L} + g_{i\bar{j}}\delta_{K}^{L}\left(1 + \frac{R}{4c}\right)$$

2d: Cecotti-Vafa 1991

4d: Papadodimas '09

topological-anti-topological fusion

Holomorphic gauge

- Practical to select a particular scheme converts *tt** equations to PDEs for 2- and 3-point functions
- Holomorphic vector bundles \rightarrow holomorphic gauge $(A_{\bar{j}})_{K}^{L} = 0$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}^{j}} \left(g^{\bar{M}L} \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda^{i}} g_{K\bar{M}} \right) &= C_{iK}^{P} g_{P\bar{Q}} C_{\bar{j}\bar{R}}^{*\bar{Q}} g^{\bar{R}L} - g_{K\bar{N}} C_{\bar{j}\bar{U}}^{*\bar{N}} g^{\bar{U}V} C_{iV}^{L} - g_{i\bar{j}} \delta_{K}^{L} \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\lambda}^{j}} C_{IJ}^{K} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial C_{jK}^{L}}{\partial\lambda^{i}} - \frac{\partial C_{iK}^{L}}{\partial\lambda^{j}} &= g^{\bar{Q}L} \partial_{i} g_{P\bar{Q}} C_{jK}^{P} - C_{jP}^{L} g^{\bar{Q}P} \partial_{i} g_{K\bar{Q}} - (i \leftrightarrow j) \end{split}$$

- d=2 N=(2,2): very restrictive set of equations
 solution almost unique
 Cecotti-Vafa 1991
- In d=4: how restrictive?
 - a recognizable (integrable) structure in these equations?
 - a complete solution from a `few' data?

Example: $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal QCD

 $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM, gauge group $SU(N) \oplus 2N$ hypermultiplets

 $\mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral ring <u>generators</u>

 $\varphi \,$ complex scalar in vector multiplet

$$\phi_{\ell} \propto \operatorname{Tr} \left[\varphi^{\ell} \right] \;, \quad \ell = 2, 3, \dots, N$$

Complex 1-dimensional conformal manifold

$$\mathcal{O}_{ au} = Q^4 \cdot \phi_2$$

complexified gauge coupling $au = rac{ heta}{2\pi} + rac{4\pi i}{g_{YM}^2}$

SU(2)

Baggio-VN-Papadodimas '14

- 1 chiral ring generator
- No degeneracies
- The chiral primary operators are $\phi_{2n} \propto \left({
 m Tr} \left[arphi^2
 ight]
 ight)^n$
- We normalize ϕ_{2n} , n > 1 so that

$$\phi_2(x)\phi_{2n}(0) = \phi_{2n+2}(0) + \dots$$

or

$$C_{2n\ 2m}^{2(n+m)} = 1$$
 consistent with holomorphic gauge

Solve for the 2-point function coefficients

$$\left|\phi_{2n}(x)\bar{\phi}_{2n}(0)\right\rangle = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c}g_{2n}(\tau,\bar{\tau})\\ x \mid 4n\end{array}}_{\text{highly non-trivial in }N=2}$$

NOTE: equivalently, in basis of orthonormal 2-point functions we study the exact 3-point functions

'trivial' in $\Lambda I = \Lambda$

*tt** equations



$$\partial_{\tau} \partial_{\bar{\tau}} \log g_{2n} = \frac{g_{2n+2}}{g_{2n}} - \frac{g_{2n}}{g_{2n-2}} - g_2$$

$$g_0 = 1$$
, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

semi-infinite Toda chain

$$\partial_{\tau} \partial_{\bar{\tau}} q_n = e^{q_{n+1}-q_n} - e^{q_n-q_{n-1}}, \quad n = 2, \dots$$
$$g_{2n} = \exp\left(q_n - \log Z_{S^4}\right)$$

\blacktriangleright one datum, e.g. g_2 from localization, determines all !!!

Predictions for perturbation theory

0-instanton sector

$$g_{2}^{(0)} = \frac{3}{8} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{Im}\tau)^{2}} - \frac{135\,\zeta(3)}{32\,\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{Im}\tau)^{4}} + \frac{1575\,\zeta(5)}{64\,\pi^{3}} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{Im}\tau)^{5}} + \dots ,$$

$$g_{4}^{(0)} = \frac{15}{32} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{Im}\tau)^{4}} - \frac{945\,\zeta(3)}{64\,\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{Im}\tau)^{6}} + \frac{7875\,\zeta(5)}{64\,\pi^{3}} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{Im}\tau)^{7}} + \dots ,$$

$$g_{6}^{(0)} = \frac{315}{256} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{Im}\tau)^{6}} - \frac{76545\,\zeta(3)}{1024\,\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{Im}\tau)^{8}} + \frac{1677375\,\zeta(5)}{2048\,\pi^{3}} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{Im}\tau)^{9}} + \dots ,$$

$$g_{8}^{(0)} = \frac{2835}{512} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{Im}\tau)^{8}} - \frac{280665\,\zeta(3)}{512\,\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{Im}\tau)^{10}} + \frac{1913625\,\zeta(5)}{256\,\pi^{3}} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{Im}\tau)^{11}} + \dots ,$$

SU(N)

Baggio-VN-Papadodimas '15 to appear

• More chiral ring generators: $\phi_{\ell} \propto \text{Tr} \left[\varphi^{\ell} \right]$, $\ell = 2, 3, ..., N$

non-trivial degeneracies...

• In conventions where $C_{KL}^{K+L} = 1$ the *tt*^{*} equations become

$$\partial_{\bar{\tau}} \left(g^{\bar{M}_{\Delta}L_{\Delta}} \partial_{\tau} g_{K_{\Delta}\bar{M}_{\Delta}} \right) = g_{K_{\Delta}+2,\bar{R}_{\Delta}+\bar{2}} g^{\bar{R}_{\Delta}L_{\Delta}} - g_{K_{\Delta}\bar{R}_{\Delta}} g^{\bar{R}_{\Delta}-\bar{2},L_{\Delta}-2} - g_2 \delta^{L_{\Delta}}_{K_{\Delta}}$$

Preliminary observations

Assume there is a **constant** linear transformation

$$\phi'_K = \mathcal{M}_K^{\ L} \phi_L$$

that 1) diagonalizes $g_{K\bar{L}}$

and 2) retains the OPE

$$\phi_2' \, \phi_K' = \phi_{K+2}' + \dots$$

☞ <u>tt* eqs reduce to a decoupled sequence of Toda chains</u>

Such a transformation requires highly non-trivial properties

• $g_{K\bar{L}}$ need to obey specific relations

`horizontal relations'

gauge connection will be reducible
 if chiral primaries at scaling dimension Δ have degeneracy D
 the holonomy is not U(D) but U(1)^D

(in primed basis no quantum mixing)

• OPE $\phi_2 \phi'_K = \phi'_{K+2} + ...$ requires group-theoretical identities at tree-level

`vertical relations'

Examples. Assume $(\text{Tr}[\phi^2])^n \longrightarrow_{\mathcal{M}} (\text{Tr}[\phi^2])^n$. We need:

(1) the ratios
$$R_{2n,\bar{K}} = \frac{\langle (\mathrm{Tr}[\varphi^2])^n(x) \ \bar{\phi}_K(0) \rangle}{\langle (\mathrm{Tr}[\varphi^2])^n(x) (\mathrm{Tr}[\bar{\varphi}^2])^n(0) \rangle}$$

do not renormalize

(horizontal relations)

(2) ratios at different levels are related (vertical relations)

$$R_{2n,\bar{K}} = R_{2n+2,\bar{K}+\bar{2}} = \frac{\langle (\mathrm{Tr}[\varphi^2])^{n+1}(x) \ (\bar{\phi}_K \mathrm{Tr}[\bar{\varphi}^2])(0) \rangle}{\langle (\mathrm{Tr}[\varphi^2])^{n+1}(x) (\mathrm{Tr}[\bar{\varphi}^2])^{n+1}(0) \rangle}$$

Verified by **explicit 3-loop computations** !!!

Example: SU(4), $\Delta = 6$

$$(Tr[\varphi^{2}])^{3}$$
, $Tr[\varphi^{2}]Tr[\varphi^{4}]$, $(Tr[\varphi^{3}])^{2}$



Many more checks.

Also preliminary evidence of full decoupling.

Consequence: in general *SU(N)* theory

$$\langle \phi_{2n}(x)\bar{\phi}_{2n}(0)\rangle = \frac{g_{2n}(\tau,\bar{\tau})}{|x|^{4n}}$$

continues to obey

$$\partial_{\tau} \partial_{\bar{\tau}} \log g_{2n} = \frac{g_{2n+2}}{g_{2n}} - \frac{g_{2n}}{g_{2n-2}} - g_2$$

➡ solution from SU(N) S⁴ partition function

Horizontal & vertical relations fix many more mixed correlators

Outlook

- The above ansatz solves the SU(N) *tt** equations
 - Is this the choice of the gauge theory?

(horizontal and vertical relations do not appear to come from Ward identities)

- Specific external data are needed to solve the Toda chains. How are these computed exactly? • Fruitful approach to an unexplored class of non-perturbative dynamics in 4d QFTs

Surprising lessons (non-renormalization theorems in $\mathcal{N}=2$?)

• Many more directions...

Work supported in part by European Union's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreements (FP7- REGPOT-2012-2013-1) no 316165, the EU-Greece program "Thales" MIS 375734. Also co-financed by the European Union (European Social Fund, ESF) and Greek national funds through the Operational Program "Education and Lifelong Learning" of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) under "Funding of proposals that have received a positive evaluation in the 3rd and 4th Call of ERC Grant Schemes"

