

Generalised integrable λ, η -deformations

K. SIAMPOS

based on works with

G. Itsios, K. Sfetsos, D.C. Thompson and A. Torrieli
1404.3748, 1405.7803 and 1409.0554 and 1506.05784

Eighth Crete Regional Meeting on String Theory,
Nafplion, 11 July 2015

u^b

^b
**UNIVERSITÄT
BERN**

AEC
ALBERT EINSTEIN CENTER
FOR FUNDAMENTAL PHYSICS

INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Integrable models – I

- ▶ Let a Hamiltonian system with

$$\frac{dq_i}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}, \quad \frac{dp_i}{d\tau} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}, \quad H = H(q_i, p_i, \tau), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

- ▶ It is classically integrable if its eom can be recast to:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}_1}{d\tau} = [\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_1], \quad I_i = \text{Tr } \mathcal{L}_0^i, \quad \{I_i, I_j\}_{\text{PB}} = 0,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{0,1} = \mathcal{L}_{0,1}(\tau; \mu)$, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$; form a classical Lax pair.

- ▶ It is integrable in the Liouville sense; existence of action-angle variables.

INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Integrable models – II

- ▶ Consider a 2d σ -model, whose eom can be written as:

$$d\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \wedge \mathcal{L} \quad \text{or} \quad \partial_0 \mathcal{L}_1 - \partial_1 \mathcal{L}_0 = [\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_1],$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{0,1} = \mathcal{L}_{0,1}(\tau, \sigma; \mu)$, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$.

- ▶ The Lax pair is defined up to gauge transformations: $\mathcal{L} \mapsto g^{-1} \mathcal{L} g - g^{-1} dg$.
- ▶ There is a classically conserved *monodromy matrix*

$$M(\mu) := P \exp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\sigma \mathcal{L}_1(\tau, \sigma; \mu), \quad \partial_0 M(\mu) = 0.$$

Its expansion with respect to μ defines infinite conserved charges.

- ▶ Deforming the theory and keeping integrability is far from trivial.
- ▶ Difficulty increases with the number of deformation parameters.

SYNOPSIS

The λ -deformed isotropic Principal Chiral model

The λ -deformed Yang–Baxter σ -model

Discussion & Outlook

PLAN OF THE TALK

THE λ -DEFORMED ISOTROPIC PCM

THE λ -DEFORMED YANG–BAXTER σ -MODEL

DISCUSSION & OUTLOOK

THE λ -DEFORMED ISOTROPIC PCM

Consider the isotropic PCM, whose action reads:

$$S_{\text{PCM}} = \frac{1}{2\pi t} \int d^2\sigma R_+^T R_- .$$

Gauging procedure with WZW action reveals the action:

$$S_{k,\lambda}(g) = S_{\text{WZW},k}(\mathfrak{g}) + \frac{k\lambda}{2\pi} \int d^2\sigma L_+^T (\mathbb{I} - \lambda D)^{-1} R_- , \quad \lambda = \frac{kt}{1+kt}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda < 1 ,$$

K. Sfetsos, Nucl. Phys. **B880** (2014) 225

Its equations of motion read:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ I_- + \partial_- I_+ &= 0, & \partial_+ I_- - \partial_- I_+ + [I_+, I_-] &= 0, \\ I_+ &= -\frac{2\lambda}{1+\lambda} (\mathbb{I} - \lambda D^T)^{-1} L_+, & I_- &= \frac{2\lambda}{1+\lambda} (\mathbb{I} - \lambda D)^{-1} R_- . \end{aligned}$$

The $su(2)$ case was tackled through a brut force computation.

J. Balog, P. Forgacs, Z. Horvath and L. Palla, Phys. Lett. **B324** (1994) 403

Glossary:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\pm}^a &= L_{\mu}^a \partial_{\pm} X^{\mu} = -i \text{Tr}(T_a g^{-1} \partial_{\pm} g) , & R_{\pm}^a &= R_{\mu}^a \partial_{\pm} X^{\mu} = -i \text{Tr}(T_a \partial_{\pm} g g^{-1}) , \\ R_{\mu}^a &= D^a_b L_{\mu}^b , & D_{ab}(g) &= \text{Tr}(T_a g T_b g^{-1}) , \quad g \in G . \end{aligned}$$

THE λ -DEFORMED ISOTROPIC PCM

In a GWZW, there are two commuting copies of current algebras

$$S_+ = D_+ g g^{-1} + A_+ - A_- , \quad S_- = -g^{-1} D_- g + A_- - A_+ ,$$
$$\{S_{\pm}^A, S_{\pm}^B\} = f_{ABC} S_{\pm}^C \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \pm \frac{k}{2} \delta_{AB} \delta'_{\sigma\sigma'} , \quad \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} = \delta(\sigma - \sigma') ,$$

P. Bowcock, Nucl. Phys. **B316** (1989) 80

The final action does not depend on derivatives of A_{\pm}

$$S_{\pm} + \frac{k}{2} (\lambda^{-1} A_{\pm} - A_{\mp}) \approx 0, \quad \text{where } A_{\pm} = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \lambda)I_{\pm} ,$$

Rotating the base we find:

$$\{I_{\pm}^a, I_{\pm}^b\}_{\text{PB}} = e^2 f^{abc} (I_{\mp}^c - (1 + 2x)I_{\pm}^c) \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \pm 2e^2 \delta^{ab} \delta'_{\sigma\sigma'} ,$$
$$\{I_{+}^a, I_{-}^b\}_{\text{PB}} = -e^2 f^{abc} (I_{+}^c + I_{-}^c) \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} ,$$

S. G. Rajeev, Phys. Lett. **B217** (1989) 123

T. J. Hollowood, J. L. Miramontes and D. M. Schmidt, JHEP **1411** (2014) 009

where:

$$x = \frac{1 + \lambda^2}{2\lambda} \geq 1, \quad e = \frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{k(1 - \lambda^2)}(1 + \lambda)} .$$

THE λ -DEFORMED ISOTROPIC PCM

The eom can be written in terms of a classical Lax pair:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \mu \frac{I_1 + \mu I_0}{1 - \mu^2}, \quad \mathcal{L}_1 = \mu \frac{I_0 + \mu I_1}{1 - \mu^2}, \quad I_{\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}} = I_+ \pm I_-, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C}$$

By expanding $M(\mu)$ in powers of μ we generate an infinite set of conserved charges:

$$M(\mu) = 1 + \mu Q_0 + \mu^2 \widehat{Q} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^3)$$

The first two conserved charges read:

$$Q_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\sigma I_0(\sigma), \quad Q_1 = \widehat{Q} - \frac{1}{2} Q_0^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\sigma I_1(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma} d\sigma' [I_0(\sigma), I_0(\sigma')]$$

M. Luscher and K. Pohlmeyer, Nucl. Phys. **B137** (1978) 46,

E. Brezin, C. Itzykson, J. Zinn-Justin and J. B. Zuber, Phys. Lett. **B82** (1979) 442,

D. Bernard, Commun. Math. Phys. 137 (1991) 191.

These charges turn out to satisfy a classical Yangian algebra $Y_C(\mathfrak{g})$.

THE λ -DEFORMED ISOTROPIC PCM

The Poisson brackets for Q_0

$$\{Q_0^a, Q_0^b\} = -2e^2(1+x)f_{abc}Q_0^c, \quad \{Q_0^a, Q_1^b\} = -2e^2(1+x)f_{abc}Q_1^c,$$

and the *first Serre* relation for Q_1 :

$$f_{dab}\{Q_1^c, Q_1^d\} + f_{dca}\{Q_1^b, Q_1^d\} + f_{abc}\{Q_1^a, Q_1^d\} = 2e^2(1+x) \times F_{pqr}Q_0^pQ_0^qQ_0^r,$$

where: $F_{pqr} = \frac{1}{4}f_{aip}f_{bjq}f_{ckr}f_{ijk}$. They could be derived through the PB of the monodromy matrix.
J.M. Maillet Nucl. Phys. B269 (1986) 54, Phys. Lett. B281 (1992) 90

For the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ case it vanishes and the *second Serre* relation reads

$$\{\{Q_1^a, Q_1^b\}, \{Q_0^c, Q_1^d\}\} + \{\{Q_1^c, Q_1^d\}, \{Q_0^a, Q_1^b\}\} = 2e^6(1+x)^3Q_0^e \left(\varepsilon_{abe}Q_0^{[c}Q_1^{d]} + \varepsilon_{cde}Q_0^{[a}Q_1^{b]} \right)$$

G. Itsios, K. Sfetsos, KS and A.Torrieli, Nucl.Phys. B889 (2014) 64

Generalises MacKay's results for:

Current algebras of the PCM ($x = 1$) & Gross-Neveu ($e \rightarrow 0, x \rightarrow \infty, e^2x = \text{finite}$)

N.J. MacKay, Phys. Lett. B281 (1992) 90

RENORMALISABILITY

Consider a 1+1-dimensional non-linear σ -model with action

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int (G_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu}) \partial_+ X^\mu \partial_- X^\nu .$$

The one-loop β -functions for $G_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ read:

Ecker–Honerkamp 71, Friedan 80, Braaten–Curtright–Zachos 85

$$\mu \frac{dG_{\mu\nu}}{d\mu} + \mu \frac{dB_{\mu\nu}}{d\mu} = R_{\mu\nu}^- + \nabla_\nu^- \xi_\mu ,$$

where the last term corresponds to field redefinitions (diffeomorphisms).

Generalities:

- ▶ The Ricci tensor and the covariant derivative includes torsion, i.e. $H = dB$.
- ▶ The σ -model is renormalizable within the zoo of metrics and 2-forms.
- ▶ It is not given that the RG flows will retain the form at hand of $G_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$.

RENORMALISABILITY

It turns out that the RG flow keeps the form of the σ -model, the coupling λ is flowing.

The β -function reads: **G. Itsios, K. Sfetsos–KS, Phys.Lett. B733 (2014) 265**

$$\beta_\lambda = \mu \frac{d\lambda}{d\mu} = -\frac{c_G \lambda^2}{2k(1+\lambda)^2}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \quad \text{and } k \text{ does not flow}$$

D. Kutasov, Phys. Lett. B227 (1989) 68

The integrability is valid at one-loop in $1/k$.

Properties of the flow

- ▶ It behaves accordingly around $\lambda \ll 1 \implies \beta_\lambda \simeq -\frac{c_G \lambda^2}{2k} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)$.
- ▶ The β -function can be solved explicitly:

$$\lambda - \lambda^{-1} + 2 \ln \lambda = -\frac{c_G}{2k} (t - t_0),$$

where UV at $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and towards the IR at $\lambda \rightarrow 1^-$.

- ▶ It is invariant under the weak–strong duality, i.e. $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1}$, $k \mapsto -k$
D. Kutasov, Phys. Lett. B233 (1989) 369
- ▶ The effective action shares the same invariance.

PLAN OF THE TALK

THE λ -DEFORMED ISOTROPIC PCM

THE λ -DEFORMED YANG–BAXTER σ -MODEL

DISCUSSION & OUTLOOK

THE λ -DEFORMED YANG–BAXTER σ -MODEL

Consider the Yang–Baxter σ -model:

$$S_{\text{YB}} = \frac{1}{2\pi t} \int d^2\sigma R_+^T (\mathbb{I} - \eta \mathcal{R})^{-1} R_-$$

C. Klimčik and P. Ševera, *Phys. Lett.* **B351** (1995) 455

C. Klimčik, *JHEP* **0212** (2002) 051 and *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009) 043508

\mathcal{R} is an anti-symmetric operator defining the bracket:

$$[A, B]_{\mathcal{R}} := [\mathcal{R}A, B] + [A, \mathcal{R}B], \quad \forall A, B \in \mathfrak{g},$$

A sufficient condition for its Jacobi identity, leads to:

$$[\mathcal{R}A, \mathcal{R}B] - \mathcal{R}[A, B]_{\mathcal{R}} = -c^2[A, B], \quad \forall A, B \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}$$

Known as modified Yang–Baxter (mYB) equation.

- ▶ $[A, B]_{\mathcal{R}}$ defines a second Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{R}}$, i.e. $\tilde{f}_{abc} = \mathcal{R}_{ad}f_{bdc} - \mathcal{R}_{bd}f_{adc}$.
- ▶ $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{R}}$ defines a Drinfeld double; f_{abc} and \tilde{f}_{abc} satisfying the Jacobi identities.
- ▶ There is an underlying Poisson algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \{I_{\pm}^a, I_{\pm}^b\}_{\text{PB}} &= e^2 f^{abc} (I_{\mp}^c - (1 + 2x)I_{\pm}^c) \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \pm 2e^2 \delta^{ab} \delta'_{\sigma\sigma'}, \\ \{I_{+}^a, I_{-}^b\}_{\text{PB}} &= -e^2 f^{abc} (I_{+}^c + I_{-}^c) \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}, \quad \text{where } x < 1. \end{aligned}$$

THE λ -DEFORMED YANG-BAXTER σ -MODEL

Gauging procedure with WZW action reveals the action:

$$S_{k,\lambda}(g) = S_{\text{WZW},k}(g) + \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2\sigma L_+^T (\lambda^{-1} - D)^{-1} R_- ,$$

where:

$$\lambda^{-1} = k^{-1}(E + k \mathbb{I}) .$$

The equations of motion read ($\lambda \neq \mathbb{I}$):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ A_- - \partial_- (\lambda^{-T} A_+) &= [\lambda^{-T} A_+, A_-] , \\ \partial_+ (\lambda^{-1} A_-) - \partial_- A_+ &= [A_+, \lambda^{-1} A_-] . \end{aligned}$$

Goal: Try to rewrite the eom of A_{\pm} in terms of a spectral dependent Lax pair.

THE λ -DEFORMED YANG–BAXTER σ -MODEL

Group case

$$E = \frac{1}{t} (\mathbb{I} - \eta \mathcal{R})^{-1}$$

Using the expression for E and the mYB we find that:

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \partial_{\pm} \tilde{A}_{\mp} &= \eta [\mathcal{R} \tilde{A}_{\pm}, \tilde{A}_{\mp}] + a [\tilde{A}_{+}, \tilde{A}_{-}], \\ \tilde{A}_{\pm} &= (\mathbb{I} \pm \eta \mathcal{R})^{-1} A_{\pm}, \quad a = \frac{1 + c^2 \eta^2 \lambda_0}{1 + \lambda_0}, \quad \lambda_0 = \frac{kt}{1 + kt}. \end{aligned}$$

The above can be written in terms of a Lax connection:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\pm} &= (\alpha_{\pm} \mathbb{I} \pm \eta \mathcal{R}) (\mathbb{I} \pm \eta \mathcal{R})^{-1} A_{\pm}, \\ \alpha_{\pm} &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \frac{\mu}{\mu \mp 1}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C}, \\ \alpha_1 &= a - \sqrt{a^2 - c^2 \eta^2}, \quad \alpha_2 = 2\sqrt{a^2 - c^2 \eta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

THE λ -DEFORMED YANG–BAXTER σ -MODEL

Comments:

1. It provides a two-parameter integrable deformation of the PCM, labelled as η and λ_0 .
2. Generalises for an arbitrary semisimple group, the λ -deformation of the isotropic PCM.
3. Conjectured to be the bi-Yang–Baxter σ -model under *PL T-duality* and Wick rotation.
C. Klimčík, *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009) 043508 and *Letters in Mathematical Physics* **104** (2014) 1095.
K. Sfetsos, KS, D.C. Thompson, 1506.05784

$$S_{\text{bi-YB}} = \frac{1}{2\pi t} \int d^2\sigma R_+^T (\mathbb{I} - \eta \mathcal{R} - \zeta D \mathcal{R} D^T)^{-1} R_-$$

PLAN OF THE TALK

THE λ -DEFORMED ISOTROPIC PCM

THE λ -DEFORMED YANG–BAXTER σ -MODEL

DISCUSSION & OUTLOOK

DISCUSSION & OUTLOOK

1. We studied the integrability and renormalisability of the λ -deformed isotropic PCM.
2. Conjectured to be the Yang–Baxter σ -model under PL T-duality and Wick rotation.
B. Hoare and A. A. Tseytlin, *Nucl.Phys.* **B897** (2015) 448-478
3. We constructed the Lax pair for the λ -deformed Yang–Baxter; ”related to” bi-YB.
4. These models turn to be renormalisable, and so are integrable, at one-loop in $1/k$.
5. In the $su(2)$ case, the PCM with $E = \text{diag}(E_1, E_2, E_3)$ is integrable & renormalizable
I.V. Cherednik, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **47** (1981) 422
L. Hlavatý, *Phys. Lett.* **A271** (2000) 207

and so does its λ -deformation:

K. Sfetsos and K.S, *Phys. Lett.* **B743** (2015) 160