

Towards non-SUSY Duality

Zohar Komargodski

Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, USA

Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel

S. Abel, ZK, M. Buican 1105.

Towards non-SUSY Duality - p. 1/29

Interesting to find the low energy degrees of freedom of strongly interacting systems. Canonical Example: massless QCD

$[SU(N_c)] \times SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R \times U(1)_B$

- UV: quarks and gluons
- **IR: pions**

- Correlation functions of gauge invariant operators $\psi\tilde\psi$
- $F_{\mu\nu}^2$ at very large distances are expressible by
- correlation functions of pions fields.
- WHAT IS THE MAPPING?

$$\int [dA] [d\psi] [d\tilde{\psi}] < F^2 ... > e^{-S(A,\psi,\tilde{\psi})} = \int [dU] < ?.. > e^{-S(U)}$$

We do not know the answer in general.

But some operators can be mapped:

• Currents corresponding to the global symmetry $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R$

$$j^{UV}_{\mu} = \psi \gamma_{\mu} T_L \bar{\psi} + \tilde{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} T_R \bar{\tilde{\psi}}$$

 $\rightarrow j_{\mu}^{IR} = (T_L - T_R)\partial\pi + (T_L + T_R)\pi\partial\pi + \cdots$

• A similar formula for $U(1)_B$ but slightly more complicated to derive (WZ and also Witten)

$\ensuremath{\,{\rm s}}$ No rigorous method for the U(1) axial current

$$j_A = \psi \gamma_\mu \bar{\psi} - \tilde{\psi} \gamma_\mu \bar{\tilde{\psi}}$$

because of the anomaly

$$\partial j_A \sim F\tilde{F}$$

Towards non-SUSY Duality – p. 5/29

Mapping Operators in SUSY

- Many strongly interacting theories have a known low energy description (duality).
- E.g. SUSY QCD (in the appropriate regime) is described at low energies by a weakly coupled dual gauge theory.
- The mapping of operators extends to the CHIRAL-RING, so we can do much more than in nonSUSY theories.

Mapping Operators in SUSY

- For instance we can map mesons and baryons $Q\tilde{Q} \longrightarrow M$ and $Q^{N_c} \longrightarrow q^{N_f N_c}$
- As in QCD we can also map global currents, e.g.

$$QQ^{\dagger} - \tilde{Q}\tilde{Q}^{\dagger} = \frac{N_f - N_c}{N_c} \left(qq^{\dagger} - \tilde{q}\tilde{q}^{\dagger} \right)$$



Mapping Operators in SUSY

- Can we go beyond the chiral ring and conserved currents?
- We will derive an EXACT result for the mapping of the axial current

$$J_A = QQ^{\dagger} + \tilde{Q}\tilde{Q}^{\dagger}$$

and will discuss several applications of the general method.

Consider the anomaly equation

$$\bar{D}^2 \left(Q Q^{\dagger} + \tilde{Q} \tilde{Q}^{\dagger} \right) \sim W_{\alpha}^2,$$

but W^2_{lpha} is related to trace anomaly via

$$T^{\mu}_{\mu} \sim F^2$$

and the trace anomaly is related to the $R\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mbox{-}\mb$

$$\{Q, [\bar{Q}, R_{\mu}]\} \sim T_{\mu\nu}$$

Formally: a theory with an R-symmetry has a multiplet

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu} = R_{\mu} + \theta S_{\mu} + c.c. + \theta \overline{\theta} (T_{\mu\nu} + ...) + ...$$

The conservation equations are subsumed in

$$\bar{D}\mathcal{R}_{\mu} = \bar{D}^2 D_{\alpha} U$$

with real U. For conformal theories U is a conserved and $% \mathcal{U}$

$$R_{\mu} + U|_{\theta\bar{\theta}}$$

is the superconformal R-symmetry.

Mathematically

$$\bar{D}\mathcal{R}_{\mu}=\bar{D}^{2}D_{\alpha}U$$

- implies that R_{μ} is reducible (U is a sub-multiplet) but not decomposable.
- If we can follow the R-symmetry, we can follow U!

- General Algorithm: Pick an R-symmetry along the flow.
- Determine the superconformal R-symmetry in the UV and IR and then we find

$$U^{UV} = 3J^{UV}/2 \longrightarrow U^{IR} = 3J^{IR}/2$$

- where $J^{UV,IR} = R^{UV,IR}_{SCFT} R_{\mu}$.
- In many examples U turns out to be the Konishi current so we provide an exact mapping for it under duality.

The equation

$$\bar{D}\mathcal{R}_{\mu} = \bar{D}^2 D_{\alpha} U$$

does not fix U uniquely. We can always redefine

$$U \longrightarrow U + f(\Phi) + \bar{f}(\bar{\Phi})$$

and this is annihilated by $ar{D}^2 D_{lpha}$.

This is an ambiguity in the procedure that arises rarely, not in the examples we discuss here. In most cases the holomorphic pieces can be forbidden by symmetries.

- In summary the procedure can be fully carried out in the following cases
- There is a duality
- A-maximization or other methods tell us what is the IR superconformal symmetry.

- SQCD in the range $N_c < N_f < 3N_c/2$ flows from a
- free UV fixed point to a free IR fixed point.
- There is one exact R-symmetry along the flow $U(1)_R(Q, \tilde{Q}) = 1 N_c/N_f$. From the algorithm above we get an exact result

$$QQ^{\dagger} + \tilde{Q}\tilde{Q}^{\dagger} \longrightarrow \frac{2N_f - 3N_c}{3N_c - N_f} \left(qq^{\dagger} + \tilde{q}\tilde{q}^{\dagger} - 2MM^{\dagger}\right)$$

Example: SQCD

The divergence of this identity gives

The coefficient is negative in the free-magnetic phase,

- as appropriate. This result disagrees with many
- previous proposals by various people.

Example: Adjoint SQCD

- Adjoint SQCD has been solved using a-maximization.
- As a function of $x = N_f/N_c < 2$ there are free fields accompanied by nontrivial SCFTs. For some x where there are N free fields we find

$$QQ^{\dagger} + \tilde{Q}\tilde{Q}^{\dagger} \longrightarrow \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{N_c}{N_f}\right)^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left(2\frac{N_c}{N_f} - j - 2\right) M_j M_j^{\dagger}$$

which again maps the Konishi current to something nontrivial in the IR.

- **Consider SQCD in the free-magnetic phase**
- In the IR this flow to the dual theory $K = q e^{\tilde{V}} q^{\dagger} + \tilde{q} e^{-\tilde{V}} \tilde{q}^{\dagger} + M M^{\dagger}, W = q M \tilde{q}$
- One natural question is what happens to the dynamics once we deform in the UV $\delta \mathcal{L} = m_{\lambda} \lambda_{ele} \lambda_{ele} - m^2 (QQ^{\dagger} + \tilde{Q}\tilde{Q}^{\dagger})$

- This is a soft deformation, like the ones people consider in the MSSM
- However, following it to the IR is nontrivial, as we go through strong coupling – we are dealing with "non-SUSY" duality.

Our mapping gives the answer:

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = m_{\lambda} \frac{2N_f - 3N_c}{3N_c - N_f} \lambda_{mag} \lambda_{mag}$$

$$-m^2 \frac{3N_c - 2N_f}{3N_c - N_f} \left(2MM^{\dagger} - qq^{\dagger} - \tilde{q}\tilde{q}^{\dagger}\right)$$

Hence, the meson field is massive at the origin, but the magnetic quarks are tachyonic.

- Particles in the IR can be tachyonic even if all masses
- in the UV are positive (violating persistent mass condition!).
- One can verify that the F-terms

 $qM\tilde{q}$

- and the D-terms of the magnetic $SU(N_f N_c)$ group
- do not stabilize the runaway, so we do not know where is the vacuum

This runaway can be stabilized by gauging baryon number symmetry. Then one finds a vacuum located at

$$q \sim \tilde{q} \sim m \mathbb{1}_{(N_f - N_c) \times (N_f - N_c)}, \qquad M = 0$$

- The magnetic gauge symmetry is completely higgsed so vacuum well defined
- The global symmetry is color-flavor locked: it mixes with the magnetic gauge symmetry and survives.
- The scale of the breaking is set by the soft scale.

The usual mechanism for electroweak symmetry breaking in SUSY extensions of the SM is through stop squark loops

$$\mathcal{L}_{MSSM} \supset HH^{\dagger}tt^{\dagger}$$

which drive the mass of the higgs negative and trigger EWSB.

- Our mechanism given an alternative: The soft mass
- turns negative due to strong coupling effects, which are
- calculable and yield unsuppressed answers.
- We do not need loop-suppressed effects from stop squarks.

- The little hierarchy problem in the MSSM exists
- because the quartic coupling for the Higgs field is

 $g^2 |H|^4 / 8$

- This is too small and necessitates large one-loop corrections.
- Such large corrections only arise with a heavy stop
- squark, which leads to some appreciable tuning.

- Our framework avoids this problem because the Higgs
- is identified with a magentic quark and thus also
- participates in interactions of the form

 $qM\tilde{q}$

- which give additional quartic couplings.
- This is reminiscent of the so called NMSSM, so here we see that our "nonSUSY" duality provides a sorely needed completion of this...

- We have shown that the R-current multiplet contains a real operator U, and this coincides with the Konishi current in many examples
- This object is a long operator and cannot be followed via the usual tools
- We have used the indecomposable structure of the multiplet to follow U
- In this way we obtained some exact mappings of various nonSUSY operators

Conclusions

- Deforming by these operators looks like adding soft terms and we thus enter the regime of "nonSUSY duality."
- We have found interesting low energy weakly coupled vacua with higgsed gauge symmetries and Yukawa interactions
- Such vacua possess several phenomenologically desirable properties that one can utilize

Open Questions

- Show the second sec
- Non-SUSY tests of duality
- More concrete model building. Top quark mass can also arise from the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ Yukawa couplings, unlike what common lore in technicolor suggests. (Higgs is composite and yet easy to get top mass no need for walking etc.)