

# *Axionic gaugings in $N = 4$ supergravities*

Marios Petropoulos

CPHT – Ecole Polytechnique – CNRS

*Fourth regional meeting in string theory  
Patras 2007*

based on work with Jean-Pierre Derendinger (Neuchâtel University)  
and Nikolaos Prezas (CERN)

# Highlights

*Motivations, summary and conclusions*

*Gauged supergravities and the embedding tensor*

*The non-unimodular gaugings*

*Higher-dimensional origin: generalized Scherk–Schwarz reduction*

## Why gaugings and fluxes?

*Usual caveats of string compactifications:*

- ▶ Supersymmetry breaking:  $N = 4$  or  $8 \rightarrow N = 1$  and  $0$
- ▶ Many massless neutral scalars: moduli stabilization
- ▶ Cosmological constant (?)

*Tool for a better control of the situation: give vev's to antisymmetric-tensor fields such as NS-NS, R-R, spin connection*

*From the low-energy viewpoint*

- ▶ Toroidal compactifications:  $N = 8$  or  $N = 4$  *ungauged* sugras with neutral scalars without potential
- ▶ Flux compactifications:  $N = 8$  or  $N = 4$  (or less) *gauged* sugras with charged scalars under (non-)Abelian gauge groups and moduli-dependent superpotential (and potential)

## Two complementary approaches

*“Top-down” [many groups – see M. Graña’s ‘05 review]*

- ▶ Understand the *generalized geometrical tools* in the (semi-)fundamental 10-dim theory in presence of fluxes
- ▶ Find admissible compactifications
- ▶ Extract the low-energy properties

*“Bottom-up” [Derendinger, Kounnas, Petropoulos, Zwirner ‘05]*

- ▶ Start with phenomenologically relevant 4-dim gauged sugras
- ▶ Translate the gauging parameters into fluxes
- ▶ Reconstruct the fundamental theory

## *About the bottom-up programme*

- ▶ No systematic oxidation recipe
- ▶ Not all 4-dim gauged  $N = 4$  ( $N = 8$ ) sugras are heterotic, type-I or type-II-orientifold (M-theory) vacua
- ▶ A good picture of the landscape of gauged sugras is nevertheless necessary
- ▶ Preferably accompanied by the appropriate dictionary *fluxes* – *gauging parameters*

The gauging procedure is a very powerful method, also useful for understanding the *non-geometric* string backgrounds

Here

We focus on 4-dim  $N = 4$  theories (seeds for “realistic” vacua)

- ▶ Remind the basics on the gauging procedure using the *embedding tensor* – outstanding tool that
  - ▶ captures all consistency constraints
  - ▶ describes exhaustively the gauge algebras
  - ▶ allows for reconstructing the scalar potential
- ▶ Analyze the gauging of *axionic shifts and rescalings*
- ▶ Trace its 10-dim origin – not straightforward
  - ▶ requires a generalized Scherk–Schwarz with shift by the scaling symmetries
  - ▶ relies on a duality between massive vectors and massive two-forms due to the appearance of Stückelberg-like terms generated by the axionic gauging

## *The outcome*

*This analysis closes the chapter of characterizing a whole class of heterotic gaugings in terms of NS–NS and spin-connection fluxes*

*It calls for further investigation of other classes of gaugings related to the previous by duality transformations*

- ▶ The embedding-tensor formalism is duality-covariant: it captures the effective dynamics of duality-related backgrounds
- ▶ The bottom-up approach
  - ▶ shows that 4-dim physics needs not delving into the microscopic details of the fundamental theory
  - ▶ is an appropriate tool for the study of non-geometric setups
- ▶ What are the geometrical features of the fundamental theory on the top that translate into the consistency constraints imposed to the embedding tensor from the bottom?

# Highlights

*Motivations, summary and conclusions*

*Gauged supergravities and the embedding tensor*

*The non-unimodular gaugings*

*Higher-dimensional origin: generalized Scherk–Schwarz reduction*



# Ungauged 4-dim $N = 4$ supergravity

## Spectrum, interactions and symmetries

- ▶ 1 gravitational and  $n$  vector multiplets
- ▶ Bosonic content of the multiplets
  - ▶ gravitational multiplet: 1 graviton, 6 graviphotons, 2 real scalars combined into the *axion-dilaton*  $\tau = \chi + i \exp -2\phi$
  - ▶ vector multiplet: 1 vector, 6 real scalars
- ▶ Gauge group:  $U(1)^{6+n}$
- ▶ All scalars are neutral and non-minimally coupled to the vectors: interaction terms of the type  $f(\text{scalars}) F^2$
- ▶ There is *no* scalar potential
- ▶ The elimination of the auxiliary fields generates the *scalar manifold*:

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{SL(2, \mathbb{R})}{U(1)} \times \frac{SO(6, n)}{SO(6) \times SO(n)}$$

- ▶ The  $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SO(6, n) \subset Sp(12 + 2n, \mathbb{R})$  is realized as a U-duality symmetry of the *full* theory [Gaillard, Zumino '81]
- ▶ The  $SO(1, 1) \times SO(6, n)$  is realized off-shell in heterotic
  - ▶ the  $SO(1, 1)$  does not mix electric and magnetic gauge fields
  - ▶ genuine electric–magnetic duality transformations relate different Lagrangians with equivalent field equations and each Lagrangian corresponds to a choice of “symplectic frame”

### *Putting electric and magnetic duals together*

- ▶ The  $2 \times (6 + n)$  fields  $(\{\mathbf{A}^{M+}\}, \{\mathbf{A}^{M-}\})$ ,  $M = 1, \dots, 6 + n$  form a  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{Vec})$  of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SO(6, n)$
- ▶ A Lagrangian exists that captures their dynamics without altering the number of propagating degrees of freedom – extra 2-form auxiliary fields [de Wit, Samtleben, Trigiante '02 –]

# Gauging: deformation compatible with supersymmetry

Promotion of a subgroup of the U-duality group to a local gauge symmetry supported by (part of) the existing  $U(1)^{n+6}$  vectors

- ▶ The generators of the duality group are
  1.  $T^{MN} = -T^{NM}$ ,  $M, \dots = 1, \dots, 6 + n$  generate the  $SO(6, n)$
  2.  $S^{\beta\gamma} = S^{\gamma\beta}$ ,  $\beta, \dots = +, -$  generate the  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$
- ▶ The generators of the *gauge algebra* are

$$\mathbb{E}_{\alpha L} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Theta_{\alpha LMN} T^{MN} + \Theta_{\alpha L\beta\gamma} S^{\beta\gamma} \right)$$

where  $\{\Theta_{\alpha LMN}, \Theta_{\alpha L\beta\gamma}\} \in (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{Vec} \times \mathbf{Adj}) + (\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{Vec})$  of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SO(6, n)$  is the *embedding tensor*

- ▶ At most  $6 + n$   $\mathbb{E}$ 's are independent and the  $\Theta$ 's are subject to *constraints*

# Consistency constraints for the embedding tensor

*Gauge invariance and supersymmetry [linear constraints]*

This reduces the embedding tensor to  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{Ant}_{[3]}) + (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{Vec})$ :

$$\Xi_{\alpha L} = \frac{1}{2} \left( f_{\alpha LMN} T^{MN} + \eta_{LQ} \tilde{\zeta}_{\alpha P} T^{QP} + \epsilon^{\gamma\beta} \tilde{\zeta}_{\beta L} S_{\gamma\alpha} \right)$$

*The fundamental of  $Sp(12 + 2n, \mathbb{R})$  must contain the adjoint of the gauge algebra and the latter must close [quadratic constraints]*

- (i)  $\eta^{MN} \tilde{\zeta}_{\alpha M} \tilde{\zeta}_{\beta N} = 0$
- (ii)  $\eta^{MN} \tilde{\zeta}_{(\alpha M} f_{\beta)NIJ} = 0$
- (iii)  $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} (\tilde{\zeta}_{\alpha I} \tilde{\zeta}_{\beta J} + \eta^{MN} \tilde{\zeta}_{\alpha M} f_{\beta NIJ}) = 0$
- (iv)  $\eta^{MN} f_{\alpha MI[J} f_{\beta K L]N} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\zeta}_{\alpha[J} f_{\beta K L]I} - \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\gamma\delta} \tilde{\zeta}_{\gamma I} f_{\delta JKL} + \frac{1}{2} \eta^{MN} \tilde{\zeta}_{\alpha M} f_{\beta N[JK} \eta_{L]I} + \frac{1}{6} f_{\alpha JKL} \tilde{\zeta}_{\beta I} = 0$  (Jacobi-like)

### *Important remarks*

- ▶  $f$ 's and  $\tilde{\zeta}$ 's are the *gauging parameters* which determine
  - the algebra and its commutators
  - the scalar potential
  - the mass matrices
  - ...
- ▶  $f_{\alpha JKL}$  are *not* necessarily structure constants

# Examples

## *Gaugings with non-vanishing $f_{\alpha LMN}$ only*

- ▶ Pure  $SO(6, n)$  gaugings, extensively studied in the literature
- ▶ Large variety of gauge algebras as e.g. *flat algebras* related to unimodular Scherk–Schwarz reductions

## *Gaugings with non-vanishing $\xi_{\alpha L}$*

- ▶ Only some isolated examples have been studied that fall in this class [Villadoro, Zwirner '04; Schön and Weidner '06]
- ▶ Their systematic analysis is the subject of the next chapters

# Lagrangian formulation – including electric and magnetic

An explicit Lagrangian is associated with any consistent gauging and its bosonic sector has three parts [Schön and Weidner '06]

- ▶  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}$  – Einstein term and kinetic terms for vectors and scalars
- ▶  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}$  – auxiliary-field contributions necessary to maintain the correct number of propagating vectors
- ▶  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{pot}} = -\frac{e}{16} \left( f_{\alpha MNP} f_{\beta QRS} M^{\alpha\beta} \left( \frac{1}{3} M^{MQ} M^{NR} M^{PS} + \left( \frac{2}{3} \eta^{MQ} - M^{MQ} \right) \eta^{NR} \eta^{PS} \right) - \frac{4}{9} f_{\alpha MNP} f_{\beta QRS} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} M^{MNPQRS} + 3 \zeta_{\alpha}^M \zeta_{\beta}^N M^{\alpha\beta} M_{MN} \right)$  – the scalar potential
  - ▶  $M^{\alpha\beta}$  are the components of  $\frac{1}{\text{Im}\tau} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\text{Re}\tau \\ -\text{Re}\tau & |\tau|^2 \end{pmatrix}$
  - ▶  $M^{MQ}$  and  $M^{MNPQRS}$  are constructed similarly with the remaining  $6n$  scalars

# Highlights

*Motivations, summary and conclusions*

*Gauged supergravities and the embedding tensor*

*The non-unimodular gaugings*

*Higher-dimensional origin: generalized Scherk–Schwarz reduction*



# The axionic transformations and their gaugings

The axionic transformations are generated by the  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  acts on the axion-dilaton as  $\tau \rightarrow \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}$

- ▶  $S^{--} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  generates the *electric-magnetic duality*
- ▶  $S^{++} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  generates the *axionic shifts*  $\tau \rightarrow \tau + b$
- ▶  $S^{+-} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  generates the *axionic rescalings*  $\tau \rightarrow a^2\tau$

*Gauging the axionic symmetries [see the embedding tensor]*

- ▶ requires an embedding tensor with  $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha M} \neq 0$
- ▶ is necessarily accompanied by a partial gauging of  $SO(6, n)$

*Aim: gauge the axionic shifts  $S^{++}$  and rescalings  $S^{+-}$  but not the electric–magnetic duality transformation  $S^{--}$  – “electric gaugings”*

- ▶ We must set  $\zeta_{-I} = 0$  [see embedding tensor]
- ▶ Our further choice:  $f_{-LMN} = 0$  (not compulsory)
- ▶ The constraints are (“+” index dropped)

$$(i) \quad \eta^{MN} \zeta_M \zeta_N = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad \eta^{MN} \zeta_M f_{NIJ} = 0$$

$$(iv) \quad \eta^{MN} f_{MI[J} f_{KL]N} = \frac{2}{3} f_{[IJK} \zeta_{L]}$$

*Solution: non-unimodular gaugings captured by  $\{\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, 6\}$*

- ▶ We focus on  $n = 6$ : 12 vectors in total
- ▶ Light-cone-like convention:  $\{I\} \equiv \{i, i'\}$ ,  $\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I}_6 \\ \mathbb{I}_6 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
- ▶  $\zeta_i = \lambda_i$ ,  $\zeta_{i'} = 0$  and  $f_{ijj'} = -\lambda_{[i} \delta_{j]i'}$

## Remarks

- ▶ Here *all* other  $f$ 's vanish:  $f_{ijk}, f_{ii'j'}, f_{i'j'k'}$
- ▶ The  $f$ 's are *not* Lie-algebra structure constants

The gauge algebra [see embedding tensor] has 8 independent generators out of  $2 \times 12$ :  $\{Y, \Xi, \Xi_{i'}\}$

- $\Xi_{-i} = -\frac{\lambda_i}{2} S^{++} \equiv \lambda_i Y$
- $\Xi_{-i'} = 0$
- $\Xi_{+i} = -\frac{\lambda_i}{2} (T_j^j + S^{+-}) \equiv \lambda_i \Xi$
- $\Xi_{+i'} = -\lambda_j T_{i'}^j \equiv \Xi_{i'}$

Axionic symmetries are gauged along with 6  $\{\Xi_{i'}\} \subset SO(6, 6)$

*Commutation relations for  $\{Y, \Xi, \Xi_{j'}\} \subset SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SO(6, 6)$*

- $[\Xi_{j'}, \Xi_{j'}] = 0$
- $[Y, \Xi_{j'}] = 0$
- $[\Xi_{j'}, \Xi] = \Xi_{j'}$
- $[Y, \Xi] = -Y$

*More remarks and summary*

- ▶  $\{Y, \Xi, \Xi_{j'}\}$  is *non-flat* in contrast to the algebras obtained by standard Scherk–Schwarz reductions [see latter]
- ▶  $\{Y, \Xi\}$  is the non-semi-simple subalgebra  $A_{2,2} \subset SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  of axionic rescalings and axionic shifts
- ▶  $\{Y, \Xi_{j'}\}$  spans an Abelian 7-dim ideal
- ▶ More general electric gaugings with  $f_{ijk} \neq 0$  allow for non-Abelian extensions

# The dynamics of non-unimodular gaugings

## The 12 vectors

4 inert and 2 + 6 embedded in  $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SO(6, 6)$  as generators of local symmetries – enter in covariant derivatives acting on scalars

The 36 = 21 + 15 scalars of  $\frac{SO(6,6)}{SO(6) \times SO(6)}$

- ▶ The usual coset parameterization is

$$M^{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} h^{ij} & -h^{ik} b_{kj} \\ b_{ik} h^{kj} & h_{ij} - b_{ik} h^{k\ell} b_{\ell j} \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ The gauging at hand generates  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{pot}}$

$$\frac{1}{16} e^{2\phi} \lambda_i \left( 8h^{ij} - h^{ij} h^{k\ell} b_{\ell m} h^{mn} b_{nk} + 2h^{ik} b_{km} h^{mn} b_{nr} h^{rj} \right) \lambda_j$$

## The axion-dilaton

- ▶ The kinetic term is

$$e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin:axion-dilaton}} = -D_\mu \phi D^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{4} e^{4\phi} D_\mu \chi D^\mu \chi$$

$$\begin{aligned} - D_\mu \phi &= \partial_\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} Y_\mu \\ - D_\mu \chi &= \partial_\mu \chi + X_\mu + Y_\mu \chi \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Physical vectors involve electric *and* magnetic potentials:

$$Y_\mu = \lambda_i A_\mu^{i+} \quad X_\mu = \lambda_i A_\mu^{i-}$$

- ▶  $Y_\mu \leftrightarrow Y$  (axion rescalings:  $\chi \rightarrow a^2 \chi$ ,  $\phi \rightarrow \phi - \log a$ )
- ▶  $X_\mu \leftrightarrow \Xi$  (axion shifts:  $\chi \rightarrow \chi + b$ )
- ▶ The axion can be gauged away –  $X_\mu$  acquires a mass in this process via its Stückelberg coupling to  $\chi$

Trading massive  $X_\mu$  for massive  $C_{\nu\rho}$  [Townsend, Pilch, van Nieuwenhuizen '84; Quevedo '95]

- ▶ Start with a massive vector:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4g^2} (\partial_\mu X_\nu - \partial_\nu X_\mu) (\partial^\mu X^\nu - \partial^\nu X^\mu) + \frac{1}{2} m^2 X_\mu X^\mu$$

- ▶  $\mathcal{L}$  is obtained by integrating the auxiliary two-form in

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = 2C_{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu X^\nu + g^2 C_{\mu\nu} C^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m^2 X_\mu X^\mu$$

- ▶ Integrating by parts  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  makes  $X_\mu$  auxiliary and  $C_{\mu\nu}$  dynamical
- ▶ Integrating out  $X_\mu$  yields a massive-two-form Lagrangian:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = -\frac{2}{m^2} \partial_\mu C^{\mu\nu} \partial^\lambda C_{\lambda\nu} + g^2 C_{\mu\nu} C^{\mu\nu}$$

## *The final bosonic content of the non-unimodular gauging*

- ▶ The dilaton
- ▶  $4 + 1 + 6$  vectors with Abelian algebra
  - ▶ 4 inert
  - ▶ 1 associated with the axionic rescalings of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$
  - ▶ 6 associated with MASA transformations of  $SO(6, 6)$
- ▶ 1 massive two-form
- ▶ 36 scalars
  - ▶ with scalar potential
  - ▶ and minimal couplings to the  $1 + 6$  vectors



# Highlights

*Motivations, summary and conclusions*

*Gauged supergravities and the embedding tensor*

*The non-unimodular gaugings*

*Higher-dimensional origin: generalized Scherk–Schwarz reduction*

# Heterotic 10-dim pure supergravity

## The $SO(1,1)$ symmetry

- ▶ Action for the bosonic sector ( $H = dB$ )

$$\int_{M_4} dx \int_{K_6} dy \sqrt{-G} e^{-\Phi} \left( R + G^{MN} \partial_M \Phi \partial_N \Phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{MNK} H^{MNK} \right)$$

- ▶ Invariance under  $SO(1,1)$

$$\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + 4\lambda, \quad G_{MN} \rightarrow e^\lambda G_{MN}, \quad B_{MN} \rightarrow e^\lambda B_{MN}$$

## Dimensional reduction

- ▶  $K_6$  is compact: infinitude of modes
- ▶ Reduction: effective theory on  $M_4$  for a *finite* subset
- ▶ Data:  $K_6$  plus an ansatz for the  $y$ -dependance of all fields
- ▶ Necessary consistency:  $\mathcal{L}$  independent of  $y$

# *Ordinary vs. Scherk–Schwarz reduction*

## *Standard reduction on flat torus*

- ▶ Ansatz: no  $y$ -dependence
- ▶ Bosonic spectrum: 1 graviton, 6 + 6 Abelian vectors, 36 scalars, 1 dilaton, 1 axion (dual to the NS–NS form) – all massless

# Ordinary vs. Scherk–Schwarz reduction

Scherk–Schwarz reduction [Scherk, Schwarz '79; long literature]

- ▶ Ansatz:  $y$ -dependence compatible with internal symmetries
- ▶ Introduction of geometric (spin connection) fluxes  $\gamma^i_{jk}$ 
  - ▶  $d\theta^i = -\gamma^i_{jk} \theta^j \wedge \theta^k$
  - ▶ Bianchi–Jacobi  $\gamma^i_{j[k} \gamma^j_{\ell m]} = 0$
  - ▶  $f_{jk}{}^i = 2\gamma^i_{jk}$  structure constants of a locally group manifold
  - ▶  $\gamma^i_{ij} = 0$ : unimodularity property
- ▶ Results: non-Abelian vectors, massive scalars and vectors, spontaneous breaking of supersymmetry
- ▶ Example: *twisted tori* leading to gaugings in  $SO(6, 6)$

# External Scherk–Schwarz reduction

Using the “duality”  $SO(1, 1)$  10-dim symmetry

- ▶ Ansatz:  $\Phi(x, y) = \Phi(x) + 4\lambda_i y^i$  ( $SO(1, 1)$ -shift)  
 $G_{MN}(x, y) = e^{\lambda_i y^i} G_{MN}(x)$   $B_{MN}(x, y) = e^{\lambda_i y^i} B_{MN}(x)$
- ▶ Decomposition:
  - $G_{MN} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu k}, h_{ij}$
  - $B_{MN} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu k}, b_{ij}$
  - $\phi = \Phi - \frac{1}{2} \log \det \mathbf{h}$

*The ansatz is consistent and various couplings emerge*

- ▶  $A_{\mu k}$  and  $B_{\mu k}$  carry Abelian gauge symmetry
- ▶  $h_{ij}$  charged under  $A_{\mu k}$
- ▶  $b_{ij}$  charged under  $B_{\mu k}$  and Stückelberg-coupled to  $A_{\mu k}$
- ▶  $\phi$  Stückelberg-coupled to  $A_{\mu k}$
- ▶ scalar potential for  $h_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$

## Contact with axionic gaugings

*After field redefinitions and integrations one vector drops and the two-form becomes massive due to the Stückelberg couplings*

- ▶ Indicative of the gauging of a shift symmetry
- ▶ The reduced theory is the gauged  $N = 4$  supergravity studied in the last chapter: exact matching of the Lagrangians
- ▶ The specific choice of generalized Scherk–Schwarz allows to
  1. turn on the gauging parameters  $\xi_i$  as  $SO(1, 1)$ -shift parameters  $\lambda_i$  along the torus one-cycles
  2. gauge the  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  axionic shifts and rescalings in 4-dim
  3. evade unimodularity (here  $f_{ij}{}^j = -\frac{5}{2}\lambda_i$ ): non-unimodular geometric fluxes

*All this elegantly demonstrates the power of the gauging procedure for describing diverse flux compactifications*

## Last slide

### Further gaugings further fluxes

- ▶  $f_{+IJK}, \xi_{+L}$ : 232 electric parameters
  - $f_{+ijk}$  NS–NS,  $f_{+ijk'}$  spin-connection [studied here in relation with axionic symmetries; Kaloper, Myers '99 in the unimodular case; ...]
  - $f_{+ij'k'}$  T-dual NS–NS,  $f_{+i'j'k'}$  T-dual spin-connection: “non-geometric” [Hull *et al.* '05; Shelton, Taylor, Wecht '05; ...]
- ▶  $f_{-IJK}, \xi_{-L}$ : 232 magnetic-dual parameters
  - $f_{-ijk}$  NS–NS,  $f_{-ijk'}$  spin-connection
  - $f_{-ij'k'}$  T-dual NS–NS,  $f_{-i'j'k'}$  T-dual spin-connection

*The number of degrees of freedom does not change – the algebra, its  $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SO(6, n)$  embedding and the higher-dimensional setup do*